			2
1		INDEX	
2	App	eal Hearing on the matter of Owner/Trainer	
3		Annette Eubanks	3
4	1.	Approval of Minutes of July 15, 2009 meeting	87
5	2.	Commissioners Comments	88
6	3.	Committee Reports	88
7	4.	Executive Secretary's Report  a. Approval of Racing Officials for the  2009 Harness Meet	95
9		b. Approval of Judges for the Harness Meet c. Deadline for Submitting Request for 2010	96
10		Live Racing Dates	97
11	5.	Stakeholders a. Colonial Downs Update on the 2009 Thoroughbred Meet	99
12		b. Colonial Downs Plans for the 2009 Harness Meet	103
13	6		
14		Public Participation	61
15	7.	Set next meeting September 16, 2009	137
16	8.	Adjournment	138
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

## 2 AUGUST 19, 2009

MR. BURNETT: Good morning. The first order of business today before we get into our regular meeting is the appeal hearing on the matter of owner/trainer Annette Eubanks on an appeal of a stewards ruling during our current meet.

Do we have representatives of both the stewards and the horsemen here? Would you introduce yourself on the record, please?

MR. BARTZ: Yes, sir. My name is Philip
Bartz. I am one of the principal owners of the
horse. The appeal is really on behalf of Crystal
Park Stables who owns the horse, and I am one of the
principal owners of that.

MR. BURNETT: So you'll be speaking for the LLC?

MR. BARTZ: For the horse, yes.

MR. BURNETT: For the horse. Thank you.

And for the stewards?

MR. GROVE: Philip Grove. I'm associate steward for the Commonwealth. I represent the Board of Stewards.

MR. BURNETT: Mr. Grove, would you come forward so we can have you sit here -- we'll call it

counsel table for this purpose.

2.

2.1

2.4

MR. PETRAMALO: I'll sit in-between them as a neutral.

MR. BURNETT: That's right.

This is an appeal on the record, and I want to make sure that everybody understands the ground rules, at least as I understand it. We have counsel to the Commission on the phone.

In recent years, we have amended our rules with respect to riding infractions such that we have reviews on the record of the stewards' rulings, and new evidence is not permitted to be introduced absent a motion and compelling circumstances acceptable to the Commission.

The purpose of our amendment of that rule was to avoid having four or five amateur commissioners substituting their judgment for that of three trained and qualified licensed stewards. For that reason, the standard by which we would review this appeal and whatever presentation both sides make to us will be an abuse of discretion standard such that if the Commission were simply to say to themselves that they might rule differently were they in the stewards' box on the day of the offense, that would not be enough to carry the day. It would need to be

shown that the stewards abused their discretion in coming to the conclusion they came to.

And with that, I think the appellant has the burden and is the moving party, so we'll ask you to go first. I know Mr. Grove has a presentation, but if you would give us in whatever portion you want any kind of an opening statement, then I'll give Mr. Grove the opportunity to do that, then we'll go to your evidence, if that's all right?

MR. BARTZ: That will be fine.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

2.4

MR. BARTZ: May I approach?

MR. BURNETT: Yes. Please.

MR. BARTZ: I understand clearly the standards, and I believe this is not a riding infraction, but in the case of a race, there's no — there's not even the exception you indicated for new evidence under your rules.

This is my argument. It also includes demonstrative exhibits, which are basically stilled photos taken from the tapes that you're going to see. These are for your convenience. You could recreate them simply by freeze framing it, but this is easier for me to show you because I realize — well, it'll be easier, and if you need to

deliberate, you will have them with you.

2.4

Again, my name is Philip Bartz. I own the race horse or I'm one of the principal owners of Little Ice Patch. I have a very brief opening statement.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

MR. BARTZ: I just wanted to note for everybody here today, it was not my first instinct to be here and appeal this. I'm well aware of the standard, Mr. Chairman, that you just delineated. I also realize you're all busy men, both from your backgrounds and from the agenda you have here today, so I would not be here taking up your time lightly. Also, I would have to say that I imagine in reviewing the stewards' decision, if I was in your shoes, would not be one of my favorite things to do.

When I was a deputy assistant attorney general at the United States Department of Justice during the Clinton administration, I had scores of career people reporting to me, and I always wanted to support what they did consistent with doing my own job. I would have to imagine you feel the same way about the stewards here. I also understand, Mr. Chairman, what you said a minute ago, that the stewards have discretion and that you will not

overturn a reasonable exercise of that discretion.

For all those reasons, my first instinct was not to be here today.

2.

2.1

2.4

Sometimes, however, decisions are made that fall outside any reasonable parameters. It is for those rare cases that this appeal process exists, so you can rectify out and out mistakes that sometimes even the best people can make. It is your job today to hold the stewards accountable for their decisions in those circumstances. I am only here today after careful consideration, because this I truly believe is one of those rare cases where a flat-out mistake was made.

This is not an appeal that disputes an exercise of the stewards' judgment. It contests a judgment without record evidence and, indeed, is contrary to the record evidence that you will examine today. I'm confident that after you review the record evidence and hear the arguments that you will agree that the stewards' decision cannot stand. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you. Mr. Grove?

MR. GROVE: Thank you.

The first thing I'd like to say, this Board of Stewards does not take it lightly in disqualifying

any owner's horse, but this Board of Stewards is a consistent board. We have regular meetings with the riders, and we explain to them and show them in detail what we expect of them and what will not be accepted.

2.

2.1

2.4

I consider myself an expert. I rode in over 32,000 races and won almost 4,000 races, and this decision to disqualify this horse was not mine alone. This was an unanimous vote consisting of three individuals hired by the Commission.

Having said that, I have nothing more to offer to you at this point.

MR. BURNETT: All right. We'll accept that as your opening statement, sir.

Mr. Bartz, proceed with whatever evidence you would like to present to us.

MR. BARTZ: Okay. Well, this will take just a few minutes, but this is the DVD, which is really the main record in this case.

MR. BURNETT: Let me ask whether there's any objection to the use of this DVD or representation from you that it's an accurate reproduction of what the stewards --

MR. BARTZ: I talked to Mr. Lermond. We got it from the same source.

MR. BURNETT: Well --

2.4

MR. BARTZ: Okay. I understand. I'm just -- okay.

MR. BURNETT: I don't have a problem if the stewards don't have a problem. I think if we put that on and they see something --

MR. BARTZ: But I'd like to show the one that I have. I mean, if there's any differences or they have it cued up in a different way. I mean, if

MR. GROVE: We have one loaded that is --

there's any discrepancy afterwards, if they want to say something is not right, that's perfectly

reasonable, but I can represent to you that this is straight from the video room over here.

MR. BURNETT: Is it -- I guess my question is, which one is shorter?

MR. BARTZ: I'm really just trying to -- it's about -- this is about six or seven minutes. I want to put you back there on the day. It basically shows you what happened realtime, and I think to appreciate all the nuances of the arguments and what we're going to show you, you sort of need to be put back there. Besides, it's a race in Virginia. What could be a better thing to watch for a few minutes?

I understand that, but I'm just

MR. BURNETT:

1 trying to figure out what the difference is between yours and what Mr. Grove has. 2. MR. BARTZ: I don't know what -- I've never 3 4 seen what they had cued up there, Your Honor. Your 5 Honor. I apologize. Mr. Chairman. 6 MR. BURNETT: Thank you. MR. BARTZ: It is -- well, you can be Your Honor, too. It's all right. You're an honorable 9 guy. 10 I just don't know what it is and, of course, 11 as any good presenter would, I don't like to put 12 something up that I don't even know what I'm going 13 to show. 14 MR. BURNETT: Maybe Mr. --15 MR. GROVE: The only -- to clarify, the DVD that's in the machine, ready to play was provided by 16 17 International Sound, and they provided the tapes 18 that we examine, that we look at, that we make our 19 judgment. This is what we deal with every day. 20 MR. BARTZ: If they want --2.1 MR. BURNETT: My concern is, Mr. Bartz, is that is the record. What you're offering is 22 23 technically outside the record. 2.4 MR. BARTZ: Let me make a suggestion then.

MR. BURNETT: I'd love to hear it.

1 MR. BARTZ: Even though it's my burden to go 2 first, perhaps it would be best to let him go 3 through the tape and show what he wants to show, and 4 you can get the feeling from it from that. I won't 5 do that, and then I will pick up after he is done. 6 MR. BURNETT: Is that acceptable to you, Mr. Grove? MR. GROVE: Certainly. 9 MR. BURNETT: Let's do it that way. That's 10 fine. Appreciate your flexibility. That's great. 11 MR. BARTZ: No problem. 12 MR. GROVE: Thank you. 13 I have a few handouts for you. I want to 14 bring you up to speed. Like the gentleman said, I 15 want to take you back to that night. These are 16 the -- this is from my program. These are my notes 17 made on that night that will help you identify the 18 individuals. 19 MR. BARTZ: May I also -- just so you have 20 everything, I have the stewards' decision because 2.1 that's going to be relevant --22 MR. BURNETT: That would be fine. 23 MR. BARTZ: -- because it's on the record. 2.4 The one thing I would just like to say here,

their written decision is the record. I assume he's

1 not going to embellish that too much because that 2 would essentially go beyond the argument. 3 MR. BURNETT: We'll try and keep a careful eye 4 on the distinction between argument and new 5 testimony. 6 MR. BARTZ: Thank you, sir. MR. GROVE: These are copies of the 8 regulations that were quoted in the ruling of the 9 stewards. 10 MR. BURNETT: Do you have a copy for 11 Mr. Bartz? 12 MR. GROVE: Uh-huh. 13 MR. BURNETT: Thank you. 14 MR. BARTZ: Thank you. 15 MR. GROVE: You have the ruling provided by 16 Mr. Bartz that I will not embellish, but here is the 17 suspension of the jockey. 18 Now, if you'll give me just a moment --19 MR. BARTZ: Excuse me. How is the suspension 20 of the jockey relevant? This was after the fact, 2.1 correct? 22 MR. GROVE: This is part of the facts. 23 MR. BARTZ: Okay. 2.4 MR. GROVE: Let me just for a moment explain 25 to you how we process a decision, an objection.

During the running of a race, there are five cameras on the field. There are two of us gentlemen that watch it on TV, because there are different shots. There are probably five different shots. One person will watch it live. At the conclusion of a race, the jockey will put — will go to the outrider who has a walkie-talkie direct to us and put a hold on the race if he feels he's been interfered with.

At that point we go right to the TV that

International Sound provides for us, and we have a

computer where we can punch up the exact spot. We

can back it up. We can slow it down. We can pause

it. We can stop it. We can go to any of those TV

angles and look at what's going on.

After that, when the rider comes back to the scales, he posts an objection. He tells us what the objection is, where it occurred, and who it's against. At that time we interview the individuals that were involved, the riders that were allegedly bothered. We take their testimony.

We turn then to the films. We look at the films in a group, the three of us, and see if what was said by the riders support what we're viewing at the camera angles. At that time we take a vote, a decision is made to disqualify or not to disqualify.

1 On this particular night, it was an unanimous vote, 2. that the horse did, in fact, not only bother one, but bothered two riders. 3 4 And if we can, we'll move to the films and 5 I'll show you. 6 MR. PETRAMALO: You might want to get closer to the screen. MR. BURNETT: Gentlemen, do you want to move 9 closer to the screen? 10 MR. GROVE: I provided you with the program so 11 you can follow the horses, but we'll update it at 12 the gate. 13 THE REPORTER: I can't hear. 14 MR. BURNETT: Hold on a minute. Let's let the 15 court reporter get to where she can make a record of 16 this. Do you want to come closer, madam court 17 reporter --THE REPORTER: Yes. 18 19 MR. BURNETT: -- or do you want us to speak up 20 or are you concerned about hearing the tape? 2.1 MR. HARRISON: I think with all the movement, 22 it was tough for her to hear. 23 MR. BURNETT: Everybody be nice and quiet so 2.4 only Mr. Grove and the tape are heard that will help

the court reporter.

1 Note: The video is being played for the 2. Commission. 3 MR. GROVE: Let me point out the horses that 4 are involved so you may watch them. This is the two 5 horse, Angel Aquarius, ridden by Jockey Geovany 6 Garcia. This is the three horse, Dame Margot, ridden by Ryan Fogelsonger. This is Ms. Eubanks' 8 horse, the sixth horse, Little Ice Patch, ridden by 9 apprentice jockey Josean Ramirez. Bring them on, 10 David. 11 As they go away from the gate, the nine horse 12 sprints clear of everybody and goes over --13 MR. LERMOND: That's the seven horse. 14 MR. GROVE: Seven horse. Sassy and Shiekh, 15 she will sprint clear. 16 Now slow it down, David. As this horse clears 17 these horses, I'll show you the pan shot so it's 18 clear. This is Ms. Eubanks' horse, Little Ice Patch, he'll cross over. Bring it on, David. 19 20 MR. BARTZ: Could you freeze it right there? 2.1 Could you back it up a slide? 22 MR. GROVE: Can I go ahead and give the 23 testimony? 2.4 MR. BURNETT: Let him go ahead, and you can go

back over it.

1 MR. GROVE: Bring it on, David.

2.1

2.4

Right here, this horse is not clear, Ryan

Fogelsonger, and he's on his heels, and he's

drifting into the path of this horse here. If

you'll watch, this rider, Geovany, has taken hold,

and Ryan is trying to get off of him. These two

horses here. This is Ramirez. He'll look around at

these riders because they're yelling at him. Bring

it on.

MR. BARTZ: Excuse me. When he says they're yelling at him, he can't possibly know that and that's not in the record.

MR. BURNETT: We'll give it the weight that it doesn't deserve.

MR. BARTZ: Thank you.

MR. GROVE: Take it back.

MR. LERMOND: All the way back?

MR. GROVE: Yes. Go a little further. There you go.

As Little Ice Patch crosses over, he crowds and is not clear. He doesn't have sufficient clearance of the six or the three horse, excuse me, Dame Margot. He eventually drifts in right here and has — right there you can see. He has this horse in tight and was trying to get away from this

horse's heels.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

The tragedy of the whole thing is Jockey

Ramirez did not have to cross over at this point.

He still has pretty much three-sixteenths of a mile

to cross over clearly. He wasn't forced in. He

elected to come in. He was careless in allowing his

horse to drift into the fence.

Now he'll start to cross over, and he's got these horses in tight. Right there, they're on his heels. This horse is on his heels, and this horse is in tight. Go to the pan shot, David.

This is the pan shot. It'll show you directly across the racetrack if you were standing at the wire looking across, and what happens here is the horses will run out of the camera. The camera operator doesn't get far enough back. They'll lose him here. So we looked at this, and we couldn't use it for our decision. I'll let you look at it, but they run out of the picture and we can't determine where the incident exactly happened.

MR. BARTZ: Could you just run it to the end so they can see the whole race? It might be helpful to them.

MR. BURNETT: We're only looking at this event.

	10
1	MR. BARTZ: No, sir. This is partly mine.
2	I'm accommodating you to go first.
3	MR. BURNETT: If it's going to take 30
4	seconds, let it run through.
5	MR. BARTZ: Thank you, sir.
6	This is my horse.
7	MR. BURNETT: Mr. Grove, you're saying that
8	you were unable to observe the foul in this
9	particular tape?
10	MR. GROVE: Yeah. They were trying to get the
11	lead horse, and they couldn't get the last part of
12	the horses in the film.
13	Bring it on, David. We couldn't use this shot
14	to determine so we went to another shot.
15	MR. BURNETT: All right. Why don't you show
16	us that shot since this was not part of your
17	decision?
18	MR. GROVE: Yeah, but I wanted to show you
19	this. You see he's not clear of these horses yet.
20	We can't see what these riders are doing, so we
21	couldn't use this. Okay?
22	So go to your third shot, the last shot.
23	This is Ms. Eubanks' horse. Slow it down.
24	See these riders checking? This horse is not clear.
25	You see his legs. Back it up. Take it back one

more time. If you can hold it right there. Come back. You're going the wrong way.

2.4

Our contention is this horse's back legs, eight is not clear, and this is the head of the horse. His legs reach in front of his head. At this point, this rider has taken hold. This rider here is steadying to get back off of his heels so that he doesn't clip heels and go down. It was a dangerous, careless mistake. He made it, and I don't -- I apologize for the quality of the film, but I'll let it run through so you can see what we looked at.

So in our decision, we interviewed all three of these riders.

MR. BARTZ: I don't think he can testify as to what they said at this point. They made no transcript of it, Your Honor. If they want to have what the jockeys said, they should have either brought them here or I'm going to — should be permitted to testify. We've investigated ourselves, what they said, so we won't have a contest about what the jockeys said.

MR. BURNETT: At this point, we can determine that he can tell us that he interviewed the jockey without telling us what he said --

1	MR. BARTZ: That's fine.
2	MR. BURNETT: and what conclusion he drew
3	as a result of interviewing, which I think we
4	already know
5	MR. BARTZ: We already know, yes.
6	MR. GROVE: Yes.
7	MR. BURNETT: after interviewing those
8	jockeys.
9	MR. GROVE: I guess the point that I was
10	trying to make is that we didn't bypass any segment
11	of the investigation, that we did, in fact,
12	interview all three riders
13	MR. BURNETT: That's fair enough.
14	MR. GROVE: $$ the offending party and the
15	people that got fouled.
16	MR. BARTZ: Fair enough.
17	MR. GROVE: And we used along with the visual
18	evidence to support our decision.
19	MR. BARTZ: I understand.
20	MR. LERMOND: In addition, it was on a
21	speakerphone so all three of us could hear what the
22	jockeys said, not just one.
23	MR. GROVE: But can I say that what the riders
24	said supported what we saw.
25	MR. BURNETT: You can say that, but not tell

1	us what they said. You can say you relied on what
2	they said in reaching your conclusion.
3	MR. BARTZ: And I would like to say
4	speaking about the rail horse, I talked to him and
5	it doesn't support what they saw.
6	MR. BURNETT: That's as far as either one of
7	you are going to be allowed to go.
8	MR. BARTZ: Thank you very much.
9	MR. BURNETT: Anything further, Mr. Grove, on
10	the film?
11	MR. GROVE: Not on the film, no.
12	MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
13	MR. BURNETT: Yes.
14	MR. MILLER: I have a question about the film.
15	At that point where you pointed up and said
16	that horse wasn't clear and that he clipped heels,
17	did you zoom in on your camera? Did you zoom in?
18	MR. GROVE: No. We're looking at the film
19	that you're looking at.
20	MR. MILLER: But in your investigation, do you
21	have the capability to zoom in closer?
22	MR. GROVE: No.
23	MR. MILLER: You don't?
24	MR. GROVE: No. We have exactly what you're
25	looking at, but we have one of our stewards looking

1 at it through binoculars that are probably seven 2. times the actual. So his conclusion was he was in 3 tight, so he --4 MR. MILLER: This is an irrelevant question, 5 but for future controversies, why don't you have the 6 ability to zoom in? MR. GROVE: You would have to ask International Sound or --8 9 MR. MILLER: I would suggest --10 MR. GROVE: -- Colonial Downs, they provide 11 what we use. 12 MR. MILLER: Just as a side note, I would suggest to Colonial Downs or whoever hires this 13 14 contractor that they get someone with the capability 15 to zoom in, just like they do in baseball, football, 16 basketball. 17 MR. GROVE: Hey, listen, I'm on your side. 18 Anything that will make my job easier, I'm for it. 19 MR. MILLER: All right. 20 MR. GROVE: I think maybe that Colonial Downs 2.1 or whoever provides International Sound's equipment 22 might be against it because I'm sure it's very 23 costly. 2.4 MR. BURNETT: We'll let that be the subject of

another hearing.

	23
1	MR. MILLER: Well, my point is
2	MR. BURNETT: I understand. It could be
3	helpful.
4	MR. MILLER: My point is all I saw from this
5	film is a fuzzy picture of what you depict is
6	happening. I don't know that it happened.
7	MR. BARTZ: I think I can illuminate it for
8	you.
9	MR. BURNETT: Anything further on the film?
10	MR. GROVE: No. The film is too as a group
11	of stewards making a decision, were a better quality
12	on a smaller screen upstairs in our review room. I
13	apologize for this. International Sound did provide
14	it.
15	MR. BURNETT: Understood.
16	MR. GROVE: But the film supported the
17	evidence that the riders and we observed.
18	MR. BURNETT: Now, we went out of the normal
19	process here to allow, because Mr. Bartz was going
20	to look at this film to see if there's any material
21	difference between what you saw here and what your
22	DVD showed.
23	MR. BARTZ: No. In fact, my demonstrative
24	exhibits are from the head-on shot and the pan shot

that he just showed. I don't have that shot, but

1	it's not relevant.
2	MR. BURNETT: So are you okay to
3	MR. BARTZ: I am okay with that.
4	MR. BURNETT: accept these as part of your
5	case?
6	MR. BARTZ: I am perfectly willing to accept
7	those.
8	MR. BURNETT: Okay. Let's return to our seats
9	and let him conclude his case, and then, Mr. Grove,
10	we'll come back to you.
11	MR. BARTZ: The only other thing I would ask,
12	Mr. Chairman, is I still get rebuttal at the very
13	end because I should have gone first.
14	MR. BURNETT: Yes. You're going first.
15	MR. BARTZ: Thank you.
16	MR. BURNETT: This was an exercise in
17	determining if this particular piece of evidence was
18	acceptable to both sides. We're still in your case,
19	so go ahead.
20	MR. BARTZ: Okay. If I could turn you to the
21	PowerPoint that I've handed to all of you. I think
22	this is the easiest way to walk through it. We can
23	go to page 2. Let me just start from the beginning
24	so everybody knows who we know are and what we have.

The owner of the horse is Crystal Park

Stables. As I said, I am one of the principal owners. We never got to see the end of the race. The reason I wanted to see the end, I just like seeing my horse win, you know, because she's a home bred. We still have her grandmother, and she's a Virginia-bred. So we're very proud of her.

2.4

If you'll go to page 3 of the PowerPoint, the official order of finish in the race, not the official, the running of the race, the actual finish was Little Ice Patch, Dame Margot, Lion In The Sun, Champagne Disco, and Angel Aquarius, and Mr. Grove has done a very good job of illuminating where those horses were and what they were doing. I think the other horse that you should have kept in mind, you saw that one horse sort of coming across because I think it's going to be important when you understand spatial issues, because the lack of spatial evidence that they have is going to be an issue here as well.

Now, after the race, the first thing that has to be noted, there was a long gap. There was no stewards' inquiry into the race. As I believe you know, the stewards on their motion if they see something in the race are able to lodge a stewards' inquiry. They saw nothing apparently from the live run. They did not have an inquiry.

The record indicates that a lot of time past. You can see it on my film, but several minutes past, and the record indicates that Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot, the second place finisher and postposition two, claimed foul against Josean Ramirez, my jockey, on Little Ice Patch. So, I mean, I just — so you understand the differences, there's a difference between a jockey's objection and the stewards' inquiry. Now, it doesn't mean they don't inquire afterwards, but it's how the probe is instigated, if you will.

Now, the stewards' decision after the race, you just heard this, so if I'm little a repetitive here, I apologize, but I didn't know precisely which order we were going to go in. So only the second place horse, according to the stewards, objected. Yet, after the race, they placed her behind the fifth place finisher. So they placed her fifth. So what we have is no stewards' inquiry, the second place horse complains, and my horse gets moved to fifth place behind the two inside horses.

Now, the stewards' explanation, and I think he's done a good job of doing it, but again, because this is on the record, you have to evaluate the decision based on what they said. If you look at

Exhibit 24 that I handed up to you, it's on page 3, but here's the essence of their decision, and it's consistent with what Mr. Grove has just said.

2.4

After listening to the testimony of the rider involved and careful review of the film using multiple angles, it was determined that Jockey Josean Ramirez's actions caused riders Ryan Fogelsonger and Geovany Garcia to check their mounts to avoid clipping heels. I've italicized that because he said it again. And that's an important fact. And therefore placed Little Ice Patch behind both of the horses he — she's a she, and she's kind of a girly girl. I don't usually like to put sic down, but you know, again, I'm here on behalf of my horse.

Now, I think you understand what clipping heels is, but let me make sure you understand. Clipping heels is a situation where one horse essentially is running up behind another horse, so the front legs of the horse and behind may clip the rear heels. In other words, they have to be sort of single file. I mean, behind one another. I mean, that's kind of the idea of clipping heels. Often horses run up on another horse when they can't be constrained. As we go through these demonstrative

exhibits, I think it's important to understand what clipping heels is.

2.

2.1

2.4

Now, I think we've already got this straight because, Mr. Chairman, you did a good job of explaining it. Just so we're all on the same page, on page 7 of this, I have — this is on the stewards' record hearing.

This is an on the record review as provided by 11 VAC 10-90-50 of the Regulations of the

Am I talking too fast? Are you okay? Sometimes I talk too fast, so I apologize.

Only the record evidence that exists here are the videos of the race and the stewards' decision itself. You've basically seen — that's it. That's the record. You don't have anything else. It's pretty sparse, but that's what you have. That's all they can rely on to justify their decision.

In an on the record proceeding -- I just said that. That's all they can rely on.

They are not permitted here today, which is why I objected, this is Virginia case law, in an on the record proceeding to provide after the fact evidence or explanations for their decision that are not in the record. I think you've ruled for your

record exactly correctly on how you've handled that,
Mr. Chairman.

2.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: Mr. Bartz, let me just interrupt you. And if you prefer that I not, just tell me.

Is not their actual ruling part of the record by consent of both of you?

MR. BARTZ: Yes, that is the ultimate part of the record. So the video and the record. I may have misspoke. It's really just their decision.

Yes. Yes, sir. That is correct.

MR. BURNETT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BARTZ: Please feel free to ask me questions or interrupt at any time. I'm happy to do that, because I think in this particular case sunshine is the best disinfectant as Justice Brandeis once said.

Now, here's the question presented for you today. Does the record evidence reasonably support the stewards' conclusion that Josean Ramirez on Little Ice Patch, postposition five, forced Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot and Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius, postposition one, you've seen this, to take action to, quote/unquote, avoid clipping heels with Little Ice Patch.

Now, Crystal Park's position, if you turn to

page 9 is this. The stewards' disqualification and particularly the rationale for that decision is not supported by the record evidence. As I go through this tape with still shots, you will see that it's directly contrary to what they say.

2.

2.1

2.4

Now, without record evidence, the disqualification of Little Ice Patch is without a legitimate basis and constituted an abuse of the stewards' discretion, and Little Ice Patch should be restored as the winner of the seventh race on July 25th at Colonial Downs.

Now, the record before the stewards, and I'm going to slow it down for you. It's hard to follow there, but my still shots will slow it down for you so you can see it better. Clearly shows that, one, Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot, the person who complained ironically, made contact with Geovany Garcia, the one horse, on the rail. Josean Ramirez on Little Ice Patch did not make Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot do that.

The evidence will be clear. Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot and Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius were never at risk of clipping heels with Josean Ramirez on Little Ice Patch as the stewards maintain.

Let me give you their theory of the case that they just explained to you, because when you look at the pictures, you have to understand it and they prove decisively that this decision, they just got it wrong. I'm not saying they didn't try their best, because I really respect what they do. I haven't ridden in 4,200 races, but you guys just like me can see these pictures like a reviewing court would, because that's really kind of the position you're in.

Their theory is my horse came over, bothered the middle horse, Fogelsonger, who was forced to check into the rail horse. That's their theory.

It's the fruit of the poisonous tree, if you will.

So the order is my horse comes over, Fogelsonger checks, forcing the other horse to check.

Now, let's look at the freeze frame evidence, and I want to start with the pan shots because they didn't look at the pan shots hard enough. He told you they couldn't see anything from the pan shots. I'm going to show you you're going to see something very interesting that is directly incongruous with his theory of what happened.

Now, turn to Exhibit 1, if you will. I'm sorry for the quality, but given what you've already

seen, you can tell. In this first shot, this is just to set the scene. The horse in front is the seven horse, Sassy and Sheikh. The horse, one inside, is Little Ice Patch. That's my horse. The next horse, that's Fogelsonger on the three, and on the rail, you see Geovany Garcia on the two. This picture itself is not important, but as you can see at the bottom of the thing, this is timed. This is seven seconds into this video.

2.

2.4

At nine seconds, we see them spreading out a little bit more. You see the four horse is still roughly in the same position. Go to video three, and this is where we're going to start to see something definitive in the pan shot before they fade out of the picture as the stewards say. If you look at it right now, all three riders — Sassy and Sheikh is out in front, so forget about her. My horse is second. All three riders are up. Do you see how they're up? They're not sitting down on the horse. They're all up on the horse.

Now, we still -- now, look at the position of the horses, all three of them. They're kind of in a little line there and see where they are, what's happened. Now look at Exhibit 5, go to the next phase, and this is where it gets critical.

If you look at my horse, he's up. If you look at -- on Exhibit 5, the three horse, that's

Fogelsonger. He's up. He's not checking. The two horse is starting to sit down and check. Let's go to the sixth. It's going to show it even more clearly. He checks so hard. Now, Fogelsonger in this picture, the two horse has now dropped out because he's checked. Fogelsonger is still up and riding his horse. He hasn't checked. My horse is still out there.

The next photo, he's totally gone. He's still riding. In Exhibit 7, Fogelsonger is still riding this horse. He has not checked. Now the other horse has checked so bad, and this is what I'm going to say. Fogelsonger is the culprit here, not my jockey who's being vilified for being careless.

Fogelsonger is still riding. Now my horse is coming clear in Exhibit 8, and I'm going to show you head-on shots that are gonna -- I'll tie these together for you, so it's all clear.

Now you see my horse coming clear in Exhibit 9, Exhibit 10. There's really nothing more to see, but you see, here's the critical point from those head-on shots. Their theory of the case that my horse caused it necessarily means that Fogelsonger

had to check first. If he's coming over and they're in danger of clipping heels, he's certainly going to endanger the first horse before the second horse.

That's not on the pan shots. I'm going to show you the head-on shots because they're going to really confirm it. You see Garcia on the inside horse checking first, which shows that it was

Fogelsonger's fault, and I'll give him credit for being cheeky for complaining, but he caused Garcia to check first.

2.4

Now let's go to the head-on shots because those will definitively show you what Fogelsonger did. We'll start with Exhibit 12, if you would.

Let's talk about this shot. Twelve gives you like a nice setup for this. If you look at the horse on the rail, that's Angel Aquarius. That's Garcia, the closest to the rail. One out, that's Fogelsonger on Dame Margot. The horse in the lead, you can't — it's even hard to see my horse, and that's why clipping heels is important. The horse in the lead is actually the seven horse, and my horse is in behind him. You really can't see my horse at all.

Go to Exhibit 13, and you still can't -- you now see Fogelsonger is already starting to move over on Geovany Garcia on that rail horse. The horse out

in front again is not mine. That's Sweet and Sassy.

My horse is well to the outside at this point. Lots

of clearance, not even close, if you can see that.

She's in behind --

MR. BURNETT: Just so I'm clear on what you see, looking at Exhibit 13, the two inside horses, Aquarius and Dame Margot, and then the lead horse, and then your horse?

MR. BARTZ: Yes. That is correct.

MR. BURNETT: That's what you're seeing?

MR. BARTZ: That is what you're seeing.

As you saw -- as Mr. Grove pointed out, and he's right, the seven horse kind of rocketed out and really carried over, which I think may be part of the problem. I think Jockey Fogelsonger may have saw that horse out of the corner of his eye and flinched, because these next few are really the smoking gun.

Now look at 14, you can see Fogelsonger drifting in further now on Angel Aquarius. My horse is still not even -- you can't even see it. She's outside the lead horse. She's well outside those horses right now.

Now let's look at Exhibit 15, and we can come back to this. Now in 15 you can see Fogelsonger

beginning to make contact with Angel Aquarius. He's been drifting in steadily and now he's making contact. My horse is still well out to the side. You can see lots of space while these two horses are starting to make contact.

2.4

Let's see go to Exhibit 16. Now he's drifted in even further. Again, you can see substantial clearance. My horse is still outside that front horse there. These two horses are now making contact. At this point Fogelsonger is responsible for what happens. He initiated the contact.

Let's look at 17. Now it's clear. You can see this corresponds to the pan shot. You can see he's now starting to drive. With no reason at all, he's driving Geovany Garcia into the rail. Geovany Garcia is starting to check, just like we saw on the pan shot, and we'll go back through it.

Let's look at 18. It's even more clear. My horse -- still my horse is behind another horse. Remember what they said, everybody, that they were in danger of clipping heels. If they were really in danger of clipping heels, my horse would have to be in front of him. You can't even see my horse. He's not in front of either one of those horses. You talk about a zoom, you can freeze this. They could

	37
1	have done this.
2	Now here's the real smoking gun
3	MR. FERGUSON: I have a question. Am I
4	allowed to ask a question?
5	MR. BURNETT: Yes, please.
6	MR. FERGUSON: On Exhibit 18
7	MR. BARTZ: Yes.
8	MR. FERGUSON: is the No. 6 horse the
9	fourth one out?
10	MR. BARTZ: The No. 6 horse actually you
11	cannot even see it. She has blocked the view of
12	her is blocked by the lead horse.
13	MR. FERGUSON: Okay.
14	MR. BARTZ: You can't see her at all, and
15	again that's going to be relevant, too.
16	MR. BURNETT: And what Trip is asking is, the
17	horse that's on the outside is actually from your
18	testimony the fifth or your view of the record, your
19	argument, the fifth horse out from the rail. Am I
20	right?
21	MR. BARTZ: That is correct. That is correct.
22	Yes.
23	MR. BURNETT: What you're telling us is,
24	looking at Exhibit 18, there are what appear to be

two horses at the rail a little behind, and then

there are really two horses, yours being in the rear 1 2. and the other horse taking the lead. MR. BARTZ: Correct, sir. And you see them --3 4 you can clearly see them making contact. You can 5 see the one and the two --6 MR. BURNETT: See the two inside horses. MR. BARTZ: You can clearly see space between -- you can't really see my horse, but 9 wherever it is, she's nowhere near those two. 10 MR. PETRAMALO: Can I ask a question because I'm confused now? Is there a horse that you say we 11 12 can't see here? 13 MR. BURNETT: That's what he said. 14 MR. BARTZ: My horse you can't see. My horse 15 is in behind. They can't see it. 16 MR. BURNETT: I don't want to testify for you, 17 but if I understand what you're saying so that we're 18 all clear, if you look at Exhibit 18 and from a lay 19 perspective would count one, two, three horses out 20 from the rail, what you're telling us is that third 2.1 horse is really two horses. 22 MR. BARTZ: Correct. 23 MR. PETRAMALO: That can't be. There's ten 2.4 horses in the race.

MR. BARTZ: No, there's 11 horses in the race.

1 MR. PETRAMALO: There's two scratches. 2 MR. BARTZ: There were 11 horses in the race, 3 and I can get the --4 MR. PETRAMALO: Ten and eleven were scratched. 5 MR. BARTZ: There was an entry at the last --6 MR. PETRAMALO: Okay. All right. MR. BARTZ: I'm not claiming I'm that far 8 outside. I'm claiming -- I mean, they're not that 9 wrong. I'm not suggesting that -- you know, but 10 they're still wrong. 11 If you go back, you see this checking. If you 12 go back to exhibits -- if you go back to Exhibit 4, 13 you see this is showing again the same thing, that 14 Fogelsonger is riding, if you go to four, five, six, 15 you see that Garcia is checking out because if we 16 look at the head-on shot, because he's being bumped 17 and jostled by Fogelsonger. Of course, there's 18 nothing better for a jockey then to blame somebody 19 else for what he's doing, but the fact of the matter 20 is they're bumping. 21 Look at 19. This is where -- they're now 22 bouncing off each other. My horse is still clear, 23 and you certainly can't see from this head-on shot 2.4 or any shot that she's not clear.

And 20, you now see Fogelsonger comes back.

He's now being bounced back. As he said, he's being bounced off the two horse. We go on through it, and you see that they're jostling, and by this point in these head-on shots, they're gone. I just put 20, 21, 22, 23 in for completeness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Now, let me then -- now that you've seen the evidence slowed down and directly, again the standard is clear, while the stewards have discretion -- if we go to page 14 of my presentation, please. Thank you.

While the stewards have discretion in making their decisions, there must be evidence in the record to support their decision so it constitutes reasoned decision making. I'm not saying they're not experts, and I'm not saying they didn't think long and hard, but there must be visual evidence in the stewards' record that reasonably supports the stewards' conclusion that Little Ice Patch forced Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot and Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius to take action to avoid clipping heels with Little Ice Patch, because recall -recall again, only Fogelsonger complained according to the stewards, but they didn't just put me second. They blamed me for what Fogelsonger is doing to the five. They're saying it's all my horse's fault.

That's what they're saying.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: Just so that I'm clear on that.

Are you suggesting that they are not legally
empowered to make that placement or that's just
further evidence of their mistake?

MR. BARTZ: They're legally empowered to do it, but it's clearly evidence of their mistake and what I'm saying, since this is an on the record proceeding, I'm showing you the pictures that they have, and you have to decide whether a reasonable person could have reached a conclusion — when those two horses are bumping and my horse you can't see, that my horse caused that.

MR. BURNETT: Okay. Understood.

MR. BARTZ: That's what they say --

MR. BURNETT: You're offering that evidence for the purpose of undermining their decision-making process --

MR. BARTZ: Yes, sir.

MR. BURNETT: -- not to say that they acted --

MR. BARTZ: Not even their process. I mean, their process might have been fine. I'm saying their decision is just flat out wrong, and it's contrary to the pictures that you can see. You can listen to what they say, but your eyes will show you

what happened.

2.1

2.4

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.

MR. MILLER: Would it have made a difference to you if they would have placed your horse second, would you be here today?

MR. BARTZ: No, sir, that would not make any difference at all, because the wrong-doer here, my horse did nothing wrong --

MR. MILLER: But you're saying they placed your horse last --

MR. BARTZ: Placed in fifth.

MR. MILLER: -- that's surplusage.

MR. BARTZ: Well, it's not just surplusage, sir. That's a good question. They said my horse caused the foul against the horse on the rail, so I understand why they put my horse there. If my horse had, in fact, fouled the fifth place finisher, a horse will be and they have the power to move a horse behind anybody it bothered.

What I'm saying is that shows that they're saying my horse was the cause of the foul on the one horse. That's what I'm trying to say. They had the power to do that. I'm just saying they're just flat out wrong.

Now, for the reasons I just went through, the record evidence exculpates my horse. I mean, it shows that she didn't do what they said. Again, I would ask you to go back and look at Exhibits 15 through 18, where you see Fogelsonger's horse and Fogelsonger making contact with the one horse, because that's really the foul they're talking about.

2.4

It's really the rail horse that they're moving, because that's why they moved me fifth.

They're saying the rail horse got bumped around, and it's my horse's fault. That is the essence of the decision. That is why they moved my horse to fifth.

Like I said, think about the sequence of this as I showed you from the pan shot. If the theory of the case was right, Fogelsonger should have checked first, and then Garcia, but the evidence is clear it's the other way around.

Now, I think that's the end of the inquiry, because my horse -- you know, it's like My Cousin Vinny, she didn't do it. However, that's not my burden. It is not the burden of me here today, and it's not your -- it's not what you're supposed to be doing when you review this, that I have to prove that she didn't do it.

/

Even giving them all the discretion they want, if they want to say it's not exculpatory, every ounce of discretion you can give them, that's not enough to show that my horse didn't do it. The stewards are obligated based on the record that they have, that Josean Ramirez on Little Ice Patch forced Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot and Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius to check in order — again, to avoid clipping heels. Avoid clipping heels. My horse isn't even in front of them when they're hitting each other. My horse is off to the side or you can't even see it.

So what I'm saying here, if you go to page 17, is that the initial burden of proof is on the stewards. It's not Crystal Park's burden to prove that Little Ice Patch committed no foul. You have to be able to look at it and say a reasonable person could conclude that that bumping on the rail between the two and the three horse, that was my horse's fault. If you don't, if you can't, because there's not sufficient visual evidence, or their visual evidence I think is exculpatory — what I would say here, given the standards of review, again I don't have to show that she didn't do it. They have to show that she did. You can't even see my horse in

some of those photos. There's no evidence to support the conclusion that Josean Ramirez on Little Ice Patch forced Ryan Fogelsonger on Dame Margot to take action to avoid clipping heels with Little Ice Patch or otherwise excused Ryan Fogelsonger from drifting in and making contact with Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius. Again, if you look at Exhibits 15 through 18, just the opposite it shows.

2.4

So unless -- again, I love to quote movies in arguments, but unless the laws of physics don't apply on that turf course out there, because the two horse, Geovany Garcia on Angel Aquarius checks first, totally opposite of what they're saying.

Unless the laws of physics don't apply, then their discretion isn't broad enough, and they may be jockeys, but they're not physicists.

Now, I want to point something else out, because one of you asked an excellent question, and this goes to whether or not they have sufficient evidence in the record to support their decision.

And that's spatial evidence. When he starts showing you the horses coming over, think about the lead horse. On those head-on shots of Sassy and Sheikh, if you look at -- let's go back and look at Exhibit 3.

The horse out in front is the seven horse. If you look at Exhibit 4, it's the seven horse.

She's -- they're not claiming it. She's clear of all those horses. You can see it from the pan shot. They say they can't see anything from the pan shot, which is also damning to their point of view. What I'm saying is there's no way from those photos that you can see that my horse wasn't clear. You could not see that and if you cannot see it, I know they have expertise. They can't just, well, I think it happened.

2.4

It's like -- you guys watch football. You watch video replay, hockey perhaps some of you. If you can't -- you know, you've got to be able to see it. They can't assume or guess and fill in the blanks, and here they don't even need to do that. You can see Fogelsonger driving the other horse in the rail when my horse isn't there.

So based on this record, there is no way they have sufficient evidence to disqualify me and it's their burden in the first instance to do that, and what he showed you changes nothing.

So where does that leave us? We go to page 20. I would submit to you that the record evidence that you have, there's only two possible orders of

finish. You were asking where my horse should be.

The one possibility would have been to disqualify

Dame Margot, Fogelsonger's horse, for driving

Garcia's horse into the rail.

2.

2.1

2.4

The official order of finish, this is one option that you would have to restore this, would be Little Ice Patch first, Lion In The Sun second, Champagne Disco third, Angel Aquarius, then Dame Margot, because she's the horse that — if there was a foul committed here, it was committed by Fogelsonger on Dame Margot.

The other possibility would be to have no change of the running of the race and just leave

Dame Margot where it is. The stewards maintain that the five horse didn't complain. He didn't say anything. He didn't think the trouble was worth objecting to according to them, okay, then leave

Dame Margot up. That's okay. I don't care what they do with those horses, but what I do know is you can't take my horse down based on this record.

This is not an exercise of discretion. It is simply indisputably wrong. As I said before, I wouldn't be here today if I thought this was a discretionary call, I understand that, but these pictures don't lie.

So what's the bottom line? Page 21, given the stewards -- the record that the stewards had, there's nothing to support their judgment that she -- that other horses were doing what they were doing to avoid clipping heels with her. It's just not there.

2.4

Go back, look at it again, Exhibit 15, Exhibit 16, Exhibit 17, Exhibit 18, and you tell me that those two horses making contact, that Fogelsonger on the horse second from the rail is doing that in order to avoid clipping heels with my horse. I defy any reasonable person, any person to reach that conclusion. That's why I'm here today.

Now, there was no basis to disqualify my horse. It should be restored to first place, that she won the race. As I said before, she is a registered Virginia-bred and as a Virginia-sired horse, she is entitled to a first place purse of \$18,212. I really appreciate you listening so attentively. I know it was long and laborious, but I understand that without careful attention to detail, we can't get this right. Thank you.

MR. BURNETT: Any questions from any commissioners at this point?

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BURNETT: Please.

MR. MILLER: I know I'm probably talking too much. I'm having a little trouble with exhibits — maybe you can clarify it or someone in the room can clarify exhibits — starting with 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 —

MR. BARTZ: Yep.

MR. MILLER: -- 21, 22, yeah, 22. I'm having a problem -- we're looking head-on at these horses coming at us. You say that these exhibits show Fogelsonger, Jockey Fogelsonger riding into the other horse, but as I look head-on, how do I know -- how do I know they're side by side?

MR. BARTZ: Well, that's where -- and they can't do it for my horse, but if you go back to the pan shots, if you go back to Exhibits 4, 5, and 6, you can see where the two horses are, in fact, checking out of that hole. You can see in Exhibit 4, for example, Garcia on the two horse is up and riding without checking. You look at Exhibit 5, and you see he's now sitting down on that horse. He is checking there. You look at Exhibit 6, he's out of the hole altogether.

Look, if you can't -- let me make a further point. If you don't think you can see anything,

that Fogelsonger did anything wrong, and you can't tell spatially, you certainly can't say that my horse did anything, that would lead to the conclusion that you should leave the order of finish as it originally occurred.

MR. MILLER: Well, I'm not saying what I can see shows someone doing anything wrong or doing anything right. All I'm saying is that it's just hard to tell from this — these photographs. You assert that the horse next to the inside is bumping the horse, riding into the horse on the inside. That may be so.

It may be, though, these photographs could be depicting the horse that's next to the inside is coming up and is going out in front of the horse on the rail to some degree. I can't tell. I can't tell — I mean, you say he's riding into him and bumping, that's fine. I'll take that for what it is, but I can't tell by looking at this picture that that's what occurred.

MR. BARTZ: Commissioner Miller, that's a fair point. If you can't tell that's what occurred, what I'm also saying, if you can't tell that's what occurred, that my horse -- my horse that's on the outside of those caused all that to happen, if you

1	can't tell, then their decision is not reasonable.
2	MR. MILLER: Well
3	MR. BURNETT: Any other questions?
4	Mr. Bartz
5	MR. LERMOND: Commissioner Miller
6	MR. BURNETT: Hold on.
7	MR. LERMOND: I'm just going to talk about the
8	film.
9	MR. BURNETT: You're going to talk about it in
10	your case.
11	MR. LERMOND: No, about the way I was
12	operating it.
13	MR. BURNETT: I realize that, but that's part
14	of your case.
15	With respect to the duty of Mr. Fogelsonger,
16	is it your position that if a horse is coming over
17	on him, he does not have a legal right to or a
18	riding right, if you will, to anticipate that and
19	move away from him? In other words, does he have to
20	take the hit to call a foul?
21	MR. BARTZ: He excuse me. He has to be
22	forced to take that action. I mean, race riding is
23	hard.
24	MR. BURNETT: I'm really asking
25	MR. BARTZ: But, I mean, race riding, putting

horses in tight quarters and doing things like that, that's part of the game. I mean, if a jockey -- good jockeys don't get unsettled that easily. That horse was put -- I think what may have happened, that horse that was out in the lead cutting across, it may have frightened him or unsettled him. Now, that's -- in that sense, did the seven horse cause him to do it in his mind? Okay. Maybe. But that's not a legitimate --

MR. BURNETT: Well, let me just paint a picture for you, and I'm not suggesting this is the evidence, and we're not going to hear what the jockeys said. I just want to make this illustration so I can understand where you're coming from on a legal point.

MR. BARTZ: Yes.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: If Fogelsonger is yelling at the jockey on your horse to get off me, get off me, you're cutting me off, get off me, and he doesn't get off him and he keeps coming, before Fogelsonger makes contact, if he crowds to the left, is he entitled to do that?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BARTZ: If that was the judgment that you reached and it was --

MR. BURNETT: No, no judgment. I'm just

1	asking if that were true
2	MR. BARTZ: Yes.
3	MR. BURNETT: if that were the facts
4	MR. BARTZ: Does he have to take the hit, no.
5	MR. BURNETT: And I don't know. There's no
6	evidence of that.
7	MR. BARTZ: If it's a reasonable but again,
8	I'm guess I'm saying if that's the way you
9	painted it, it's clearly a reasonable reaction by
10	Fogelsonger under the facts you just illuminated.
11	And in that case, yes, but that is something of a
12	judgment call.
13	MR. BURNETT: From where we sit, it's
14	speculation.
15	MR. BARTZ: Exactly. Correct.
16	MR. BURNETT: I just want to know, using that
17	as an example what
18	MR. BARTZ: Yes.
19	MR. BURNETT: Okay. Any other questions?
20	All right. Any further evidence, Mr. Bartz?
21	MR. BARTZ: No. That's my presentation. I
22	just reserve any rights to go last, if you will.
23	MR. BURNETT: We'll let you respond.
24	MR. BARTZ: Thank you, sir.
25	MR. BURNETT: Mr. Grove, anything on behalf of

the stewards at this point, your case?

2.4

MR. GROVE: Just quickly, I'll close with --

MR. BURNETT: Let me stop for a moment. Is it your desire that we incorporate what you had to say at the film there as part of your case now so you don't have to go back through it?

MR. GROVE: No. I don't need to go back through it.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

Any objection to that?

MR. BARTZ: No, sir.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

MR. GROVE: Just quickly in closing, Mr. Bartz criticized Ryan Fogelsonger's style. I don't know that, you know, it's correct. I couldn't tell you if Ryan Fogelsonger rides up high or down low or what, you know, each individual has his own style. I think, as I counted them, 22 times Mr. Bartz said about it being clear. It's not a clear. He did a great job with the still frames, but none of this is real clear to me. We can't really see his horse a lot of the time.

He quoted a law of physics. I will quote a law of human nature, and that is me first. When his horse drifts over, Ryan Fogelsonger is taking care

of himself first. He's going to try to get away from those heels because Mr. Bartz has never hit the ground on a 40 mile an hour horse. Mr. Fogelsonger has. He's going to protect himself. He's going to crowd that inside horse, hopefully that Ramirez will go on or it will clear or he'll take off of him, that's why he gets into the inside horse.

2.

2.4

Secondly or thirdly, whatever, is his statement that we didn't see the incident because we didn't post any inquiry. We don't post inquiries on every incident. We look at the films. This came pretty quick to us. We had a hold. There was action going on. We also in our duties have to make sure that the correct order of finish is put up. We have to get everybody back in safe and sound. Yes, we post inquiries sometimes. Sometimes we do not. That is not a criteria for disqualification.

I think in closing, he's done an excellent job with his presentation on the film shots, but I think it is not clear, but it is clear to us on the testimony of the riders and on the films that we looked at and all the angles that had Mr. Ramirez — had Josean just kept his horse straight or taken the pressure off, there would have been no disqualification, the only horse coming in is his

1 The two horses on the inside are keeping a 2. straight horse. 3 If Josean straightens his horse, takes the 4 pressure off, there is no disqualification and we 5 wouldn't have been here today. He failed to do that 6 and he was suspended, and he did accept the suspension. He is not here to protest his 8 suspension. He accepted it because he was wrong. 9 MR. BARTZ: First -- can I respond? 10 MR. BURNETT: We'll accept that as argument. 11 MR. BARTZ: Can I respond? 12 MR. BURNETT: Does that conclude your 13 comments, Mr. Grove? 14 MR. GROVE: The only other thing that I would 15 add into it is Mr. Bartz spoke for Jockey Geovany 16 Garcia. 17 MR. BARTZ: No, I didn't. 18 MR. BURNETT: Well, let's hear what he had to 19 say. 20 MR. GROVE: In our interview with him --2.1 MR. BARTZ: Your Honor, he's not going to go 22 into this because he's adding new evidence because 23 he's got a problem here. He can't do that. 2.4 MR. BURNETT: We're not going to hear what he

said, only that you listened to him.

MR. GROVE: We listened to him, and he made a
good explanation to us.

MR. BURNETT: Okay.

MR. GROVE: But my contention is, you know,
with all the diligence that he put this together, it

with all the diligence that he put this together, it doesn't prove anything. It's a good presentation, and he's done an excellent job presenting his case. Thirdly, I'll say or finally I'll say is we, the Board of Stewards, do not take pride and do not take it lightly that we disqualify a horse. Mr. Bartz has a lot to lose here. He's a Virginia-bred. He's entitled to a \$10,000 bonus. He raised the horse. He's got a lot involved, but we have a lot involved, too. We have the safety of every horse out there, and the safety of every rider out there to represent. That's what we did.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

Rebuttal?

2.4

MR. BARTZ: I would like to respond briefly. I think what he just said, his first remark, and if you can get the transcript back realtime, he's just made your decision for you. He just said you can't really tell what happened out there.

MR. GROVE: Excuse me.

MR. BARTZ: We can get the transcript out.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BURNETT: First of all, we accepted that as argument. One --

MR. BARTZ: Yes.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: -- we heard what we heard.

MR. BARTZ: What you heard and you have a transcript. What I'm saying is, the burden is on them. If they can't really tell, just like in any sporting event, they can't change the finish. I'm sorry, but he just said what he really thinks, and you guys have been raising this point like you can't tell. If that's — if that's what you find, then you have no choice but to reverse what they did.

Ramirez for something that Fogelsonger did, that doesn't prevent anything. Ramirez took the days. I mean, this isn't his appeal, but if we're going to start throwing this in, he was injured. This is a lot of trouble to be down here today. I got clients who are waiting for me. I came down the night before. My wife and daughter came down with me to support me. I mean, this is not a fun thing for me to do. I'm only here — and it's not because this is a lot of money. I love my horse and I'm representing her more than anything else, but by God it's like sometimes things just have to be done

right. If they would just admit they made a mistake, it would make it easier, and he essentially just did.

He essentially said they made a mistake when he said you can't tell what happened. I'm not -- if I'm quoting it wrong, I apologize, but she's got it right down there what he said. I think he said it three or four times. If it wasn't exactly, then it was words to that effect. If you -- if that's true, he's wrong, and just should admit they made a mistake. Thank you.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Anything further from either party? Any questions from commissioners at this point?

All right. I want to confess that I don't know whether we are required to deliberate in public or whether we --

MR. BARTZ: No. I think you go into executive session.

MR. BURNETT: -- go into executive session. I think it's executive session. If Mr. Bartz doesn't have an objection, then that's what we'll do.

MR. BARTZ: That's fine.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman?

MR. BURNETT: We have the case here from the

1 parties.

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. MILLER: Where's our lawyer?

MR. BURNETT: She's on the telephone.

MR. BARTZ: I'm happy to let you guys talk candidly. I think that makes sense anyway.

MR. BURNETT: We'll retire to the conference room and make a decision and come back to you shortly.

NOTE: The Commission went into executive session, following which the hearing resumes as follows:

MR. BURNETT: We'll come back into session for the conclusion of the appeal concerning the disqualification of Little Ice Patch.

The Commission has met and considered the excellent presentations and arguments made by both sides. It is the conclusion of the Commission that there is insufficient evidence to overturn the conclusion of the stewards. That decision will be reduced to writing and provided to all parties within ten days in accordance with our regulations.

Is there any further evidence -- any further matters to be undertaken on this appeal today?

All right. Thank you all for coming.

MR. BARTZ: Thank you.

MR. BURNETT: We'll conclude that appeal and move to our regular session.

2.

2.1

2.4

We're going to change our schedule a little bit today, because in consideration of our neighbors and citizens from Brickshire and their concern with the issue of the fly population, we thought we would take that issue up first before moving into our regular agenda.

Is there anyone here from the Brickshire community that would desire to speak to us? If you would come forward one at a time and identify yourself for the court reporter, we'd be pleased to hear you.

MR. PULASKI: There's only one of us, and that's myself. My name is Bob Pulaski.

MR. BURNETT: Mr. Pulaski, welcome and --

MR. PULASKI: I've learned a lot today and what you do with my money from Colonial Downs.

Believe me, I lose a ton of money in here.

MR. BURNETT: Well, we're not pleased that you're losing, but we're very pleased that you're here contributing to the handle.

MR. PULASKI: There's three of us here from Brickshire. We're residents of Brickshire. I've been living in Brickshire for the last five years,

and I have a real major complaint. We don't know where to go. Okay? We've tried everything we possibly can, calling the health department, things like that with flies. It's gotten to a point now that you can't even go out of your house, and we know that happens twice a year, at the end of thoroughbred racing and at the end of the harness racing, but we've got to have help. I mean, there's got to be something done.

Last year, Stan Bowker, is that how you pronounce his last name?

MR. BURNETT: Bowker, yes.

2.

2.4

MR. PULASKI: He talked to me. We developed a dialogue communication, and he told me a couple things that were very helpful. He told me Colonial Downs sprays for flies every other day, and he got them to spray for flies every day. Okay?

The other thing they did is Colonial Downs cleaned out the lower stables and did not clean out the upper stables properly, and he got them to do that. That's what he told me.

The third thing is Colonial Downs was not transferring the manure out of the area in a timely manner. He also assisted with that, and he really did an excellent job with that.

But I noticed over the last five years, it has gotten worse. The last -- two weeks ago, I mean, you couldn't go out of your house. It was a disgrace.

2.4

There's a neighbor that moved in, and I got his e-mail. His name is Rick Cole. He said to me, his exact words were and I have it in writing, this is not an exaggeration. Four days of working in my garage over the past two weekends, wife and him killed 500 flies and he was bitten 50 times. That's not right.

I have a letter from David Gaines. He is a doctor with the health department, and he came up with some things that I would like to present that I think would be helpful to the fly situation. I mean, I was not aware that there is a potential for anthrax with these flies, and I'm sure you people aren't. There's a potential for anthrax, equine infectious anemia, and there's other diseases that these flies possess. And along with the swine flu that's coming up, we need to do something.

He said this can -- he can give us issues, and I have it in his letter, where he can help reduce the amount, but we've got to get Colonial Downs -- I mean, whatever they want us to do, we'll do it as

neighbors. That's why we're here.

2.4

I want to show you people something. These are glue boards that have been in my garage for two days, okay, near the pedestrian door. This is two days. How would you like to go outside and come back into your house and get this in your house? Here's other pictures of glue strips. This is how serious this is.

We need your -- I'm telling you, we need your help. Whatever you want us to do, we'll do as a neighborhood, but tell us what direction you want us to march and we'll march.

Do you guys have anything else?

MS. CORBETT: The only thing I will say is --

MR. BURNETT: Ma'am, would you state your name for the record, please?

MS. CORBETT: I'm sorry.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

MS. CORBETT: I'm sorry. My name is Constance Corbett. I live in Brickshire, Belle Green.

One of the things that really came home to me was when I had a visitor, an unexpected visitor, and I had to open the front door, and in order to speak to that person, I had to go -- close the front door, either bring them in the house or close the front

door and go outside and speak to them because the house just filled up with flies.

Now, I don't know if we have the correct information, but it seems that at the end of the season — during the season that the truck comes in, and correct me if I'm wrong, every other day, and takes out manure, what is not taken out — and the truck is not big enough to take it all out, and what isn't taken out is put into a pit. And what is accumulated on the day that the truck that does not come in is also put into that pit. At the end of the season, when we really get this influx of flies is when that pit is cleaned out, and what has happened is that the larva and everything is allowed to grow in that pit.

So to me a simple and hopefully doable solution would be for the truck to come in every day, and the truck to be big enough to cart the manure away every day. Forget the pit, because even with the health department's letter, you cannot — the pit just allows everything to fester, and then when you wait until August to take it out, that's when you really create a problem. They say you can spread it out instead of putting it in a pit, but of course, that would require a lot more space and

probably a lot more labor, be a lot more labor intensive.

So one suggestion we have is that the truck comes in every day during the season and the truck is big enough to take it all out, so that you don't have to store it and accumulate it, and then create this issue at the end of each season.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ISBELL: I think I want to chime in, too.

MR. BURNETT: Please do.

MR. ISBELL: My name is Don Isbell.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. What is your name?

MR. ISBELL: Don Isbell.

THE REPORTER: How do you spell your last name?

MR. ISBELL: I-S-B-E-L-L. I live on the same street that they do. As bad as they are outside, it's when they get into the house that drives me crazy.

Most of our houses in our neighborhood have two-story great rooms and when you get flies loose in a space like that, I spend 30 minutes every day hunting down flies. It aggravates me to no end. They land on my counter in the kitchen, it's black marble, black granite, and you can't see them. They

can hide in plain sight. It just -- I carry a flyswatter with me all day long, and it is an aggravation.

MR. BURNETT: Well, let me -- this may come as cold comfort, but I think there may be some benefit in this.

First, we as a Commission are appointed by the governor by law and we're a regulatory body. So our obligation is to see to it that racing is carried on in a legal and proper way in the state of Virginia, and that is mostly with regard to the way — what you saw here earlier today, the way the races are run, the way the parties are regulated, that gambling is carefully monitored, and all the rest.

We are also required to be sure that the licensee; that is, Colonial Downs, is in compliance with law. To the extent that they're not in compliance with law, we have certain procedures by which we can work with them, sanction them, call it what you like, to bring them into compliance and have them doing what is required by law for them.

Absent their violating some law or regulation of the Commonwealth, we're powerless to do more than jawbone them and to ask them to be good neighbors and all the rest. And in saying that, I'm not

suggesting that they haven't been good neighbors, because I know -- and I've looked into this a little bit. I went down the backstretch today looking for the flies, and was told that the migration had more or less ended for this season.

MR. PULASKI: Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BURNETT: I recognize it as an intense period of time when you are inundated with flies, and I don't think anybody disputes what you are telling us factually about what happens. question is, what can be done about it. And as with everything, there are certain practicalities. Believe it or not, the manure is actually purchased by mushroom farms in Pennsylvania. They come and get it, and they are of certain size, and trucks that travel that distance to get it and have only certain capacity to haul it away. Certain kinds of fly insecticides are applied, other organic type of efforts are undertaken to -- that are environmentally sound are taken to reduce this fly population.

What I would suggest is that we find a way to jointly get to the bottom of the situation factually, find out exactly what it is we're dealing with, and I think the doctor's letter, an

entomologist, am I saying that word correctly?

MR. PULASKI: Correct.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: What he had to say seemed to me to be a very good start, and I — it seems to me that we need to get to the bottom of this factually, and then decide — thank you, sir — what we can reasonably do together so that everybody co-exists as good neighbors, and this business that is working very hard to try and survive in a very difficult environment succeeds, and that you all have the lifestyle and residential environment that you purchased when you came here. And I would simply ask Mr. Weinberg or Mr. Stewart to chime in in any way and supplement what I had to say.

MR. STEWART: Well, I would like to say a couple things.

MR. BURNETT: Okay.

MR. STEWART: There's no question there are a lot of flies, and I personally intensely dislike flies personally. I entirely sympathize with the problem. That's why I've directed our people to always do what we can to mitigate that problem.

Dawes Lee, our director of facilities, perhaps can just kind of run through everything that we do

2.4

Once the horses leave, and they left on August

MR. LEE: Well, as Mr. Stewart said, I'm Dawes
Lee, the director of facilities here at Colonial
Downs. I've been here three years, and we've had
the same problem for the three years since I've been
here, and I can go over what we do to try and
alleviate the problem.

I'm assuming this helps, and I can see that it doesn't completely do away with them, but the horses came in here May 16th. May 18th, which was a Monday, they came in on Saturday, the 16th.

May 18th, on a Monday, we started weekly putting out 10,000 parasitic wasps a week. A pinch goes into every occupied stall and into the manure pit.

Starting that same week when the horses start coming in, we empty — the stalls are cleaned daily. We empty the pans starting at ten o'clock after training is over, and we empty those pans until eight o'clock at night, sometimes nine o'clock at night, carrying it to the manure pit. The tractor-trailers do come almost every day and pick up what's in the pit at that time, but if they come at four o'clock in the afternoon, naturally what we put in there after four o'clock is not going to get picked up until the next day anyway.

worse. We start spraying almost daily. On Sunday,
August 2nd, we started using this chemical called
Shockwave, and I brought a thing over here on that.
We start cleaning the stalls out. After the
horsemen are gone, on that Monday, we start cleaning
the stalls out. It takes about two weeks to do
that. So we started August, I guess, 3rd, Monday,
August 3rd, and the 14th, the last bit of manure and
straw was picked up, which was last Friday. That's
why I guess the problem is starting to go away.

We do that every year. The same thing every year. I mean, we stay on top of it. It's not like we're not trying to do anything, because I sympathize, too, because we have guys that eat lunch down here in this maintenance shop, and we have those fly glue strips also, and it's just loaded every day. We have the same issue at the building over here. I mean, we're doing everything we can to cut back on the flies.

MR. STEWART: And J. D., our track man, he's been at numerous racetracks. I thought it might be helpful to have him kind of explain what --

MR. THOMAS: I'm J. D. Thomas. I'm the track man here at Colonial Downs. I've been involved in

racetrack management since 1985. I've been a track superintendent at Delaware Park, Pompano Park, and naturally here.

2.4

Colonial Downs does more for fly control than any of the previous racetracks I've been to. Just about every racetrack uses the larva-eating wasps, but none of those racetracks fog. We can't fog with the horses in the stable area. The horses last day, they left August 2nd. August 3rd, we were fogging on a Sunday, and we fog pretty much every day with that product Shockwave that Dawes Lee just explained to you.

The manure isn't the biggest problem with the flies. The flies like to annoy you. They like to annoy the horses, too. When the horses leave, they're looking for somebody else to annoy. It's not only the manure. And getting back to the larva, we have those parasitic wasps that we spread every week. Each stall gets a little bit, and then the pit gets it, and these wasps eat larva. With that and the fogging, you know, we're pretty much doing the best we can do.

MS. CORBETT: You can't get rid of the pit? I think that's -- because you have an accumulation of manure in that pit.

1	MR. THOMAS: The flies get worse for you after
2	the horses leave, correct?
3	MS. CORBETT: Yeah. It's always like the
4	first two weeks of August.
5	MR. THOMAS: After the horses leave?
6	MS. CORBETT: Yeah. After the horses leave
7	and when they're cleaning out
8	MR. THOMAS: So the problem isn't really the
9	pit. It's that the horses are gone.
10	MR. LEE: Yeah, because the pit is there
11	for
12	MR. THOMAS: The flies like to annoy horses
13	and people.
14	MR. BURNETT: Well, you got really two issues.
15	One is the production of the flies themselves.
16	They're being produced from somewhere, and I think
17	Ms. Corbett is suggesting that they're coming out of
18	the pit. Maybe; maybe not. I don't know factually.
19	The scientist might be able to help us with that.
20	And the other is where do these flies go, and when
21	they don't get their meat in the barns, they're
22	looking for the human style it sounds like.
23	MR. THOMAS: Right.
24	MR. BURNETT: You know, and I wonder if there
25	is what this amateur thinks of is, is there a way

to have some sacrificial substitute from when the horses leave to our friends at Brickshire? I mean, is there some type of attractive box or something that they might go to and eat a poison and die? It seems to me it's the kind of thing that Virginia Tech might well be able to help us with, the health department might be able to help us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. THOMAS: It might be. I'm not aware of it.

I spoke with Dr. Gaines when Vic MR. STEWART: forwarded me this letter, and I guess this is from a couple years back. He's got a couple suggestions in here. Biology was far from my strong point in academics, and so I didn't know that it takes two to three weeks to develop a fly, a fly egg, but at any rate, he suggests three things. One of them is stable cleanings can be removed from the site and spread on a field so that it dries. I don't think we can legally do that because there's issues with storm water runoff. We had to go through an extensive permitting process to put the manure pit where it is. So I think for us to do that is probably illegal unless we somehow change some stuff.

He suggests that the stable cleanings can be

hot compost by somehow — and I talked to him about this. I guess you have to create some more piles, and then get a front end loader and turn the stuff over. We don't do that. I guess we could. But he says the just third solution is to take the manure away, and he says that it's done on a weekly basis. A weekly basis. That would be once a week. Removal will eliminate the breeding materials long before the flies have a chance to develop. I'm happy to do whatever it takes to get rid of the flies.

MR. LEE: Mr. Stewart, can I interrupt? We do move the manure over, flip it over every day. That is done every day, because what we do is we load it on one side of the pit and we move it to the other side so the truck can back down in there. So we do -- we are revolving the manure and straw.

MR. STEWART: Well, see, I've learned something else. It looks to me like if somebody has a different suggestion, if there's something else that can be done, I'm happy to entertain that.

MR. FERGUSON: Can I ask a question of you guys in the back? Would a residual insecticide affect the wasps? Would it also kill those? In other words, would they --

MR. LEE: Is what we're spraying kill the

1 wasps that we're putting out? 2 MR. FERGUSON: If you put a residual down like 3 Malathion, would it also kill these wasps that eat 4 the larva? Are they mutually exclusive? 5 MR. LEE: I don't know the answer to that. I 6 don't know. I would think it would, but I don't know. MR. BURNETT: What's obvious here is that the 8 9 track is trying very hard to do something. It's 10 also obvious that we're not at a hundred percent 11 solution. 12 MR. PULASKI: Could I make a suggestion? 13 MR. BURNETT: Please. 14 MR. PULASKI: Could we possibly have two pits 15 instead of one, and then clean one and dump the 16 manure in the other pit and rotate them every two or 17 three days? Would that be of benefit, you think? 18 MR. STEWART: I mean, I guess theoretically 19 you can. If I understand it right, we take all the 20 manure out every day? 2.1 MR. LEE: Out of the pit? 22 MR. STEWART: Yeah. 23 MR. LEE: No. It's probably not all gone 2.4 every day, but every two or three days.

MR. BURNETT: Could that pit be covered?

Would that make any difference if you put a tarp over the pit?

2.4

MR. LEE: With the size of that pit, it would be awfully large.

MR. BURNETT: Okay. Frank's got an idea.

MR. PETRAMALO: Well, I'm a little confused.

As I understand it, there's not a fly problem as long as the horses are here. It's only when the horses leave that the fly problem arises. Is that the same as saying that if when the horses leave, all of the stalls were cleaned and the manure pit was empty, that there wouldn't be a fly problem?

Because it seems to me that's critical to attacking the fly problem here. I want to know what's causing them.

MR. BURNETT: And that's why I think we need to get to the bottom of the facts first, because you might find yourself putting a great effort into what you just suggested, which is everything needs to be super clean the day the horses leave, and there's still a fly problem because, in fact, there's an existing population that is still evolving, and it doesn't have any horses so it goes to Brickshire.

Do you have anything to help us with, Doc?

DR. HARDEN: I have a couple thoughts. One is

the fog might be killing your wasps. So you go fog, then all of a sudden, these larva hatch. I don't know if that's the case or not, but that's something to look at.

2.4

The other thing is I don't know that I would attack the pit as being the problem because that's a form of a hot compost. Every management practice I've ever studied dealing with horse manure, they say put it in a pile, let it compost itself. The heat in there that it generates kills the larva, whatever. You'll have flies on the surface, but not inside the bulk of the thing. So I think the hot compost pit as we're using it is probably an excellent measure, even though — and it's a focal point if you're for looking flies, but I think the flies are on the outside, not inside the pit.

MS. CORBETT: So maybe the answer is to find another host, if there is such a thing, for the flies when the horses leave when there's someone else for them to go bite instead of us.

MR. BURNETT: Exactly. I think everybody seems pretty satisfied that it's about a two- to three-week time frame where they need to finish their eating and die, is what it amounts to.

MR. PETTY: Is it a coincidence that it's a

two-week period, and it takes these guys two weeks to clean the barns out?

MR. BURNETT: I think that's Frank's observation.

2.

2.1

2.4

MR. PETTY: Is it possible if you could somehow — if you guys could hire 50 guys or whatever and get it all done at once, would that — I think everybody has hit on it. I don't think it's the pit; it's when the horses go. There are machines that you'll see at a horse farm. I don't know if they're specific to the horse fly type fly versus the house fly type fly that you guys are seeing based on what I've seen in the box, and there are — they have them and they come in different shapes and sizes, but you go to some of these bigger horse farms, and you'll see these machines sitting around that are fly traps. And so maybe there's some mechanical host versus bringing in a flock of sheep and putting them —

MR. BURNETT: Put them around the perimeter someplace between the barns and the neighborhood on their way --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR.PETTY}}\xspace$  Or maybe you just need a flock of sheep.

MR. BURNETT: It strikes me that the cleaning

of the stalls is essentially a manpower issue.

2.

2.1

2.4

MR. PETTY: It's a thousand stalls.

MR. BURNETT: Yes, but interestingly when all the horses are here, all those stalls get cleaned every day because you have all those grooms, but the horsemen are allowed to leave without cleaning their stall. And guess who gets to do it at the expense of the racetrack?

MR. PETTY: Well, that might be the answer.

MR. BURNETT: So perhaps we should talk to
Mr. Petramalo about talking to his people and see if
there's a way -- I mean, enforcement is tough.

Maybe it's a deposit; maybe it's something else.

We're trying to attract horsemen to come here, and
the more difficult we make it for them to be here,
the less likely they are to come. We've been
working very hard to make this backstretch a very
welcoming place for the horsemen, and I don't think
it's too much to ask of a guy that before he leaves
his stalls are all cleaned up and his barn is
cleaned up, and he'll be welcomed back next year.

Maybe there's some way that we can provide an
incentive.

MR. PETTY: And you may only have to do it once because you may find that doesn't help.

MR. BURNETT: Right.

2.1

2.4

MR. PETTY: It may simply be the fact that the horses leave that causes the population to relocate, manure or no manure.

MR. BURNETT: Right. It may take a year or two or three to really get to the bottom of it, but I think a multi-prong approach, because I can see how it could be a distraction, to have this level of flies.

MS. CORBETT: You just can't use your patio.

MR. BURNETT: Right. There's no dispute about how unpleasant it is for everybody. Maybe we can ask Doc to revisit some of our scientific friends perhaps at Virginia Tech and any local health department people that could help us or the state health department people in Richmond. We could look at what the horsemen might be willing to do next year in terms of cleaning up, and we can examine this manure pit issue some, as well as some form of post horse departure trap that would act as a substitute for food rather than people, who they can not only bite and irritate, but can infect.

MR. PULASKI: Okay.

MR. FERGUSON: I was in the hotel business. I know y'all have heard this a hundred times. I was

forced to learn something about fleas and roaches and things like that because we had restaurants.

MR. BURNETT: Only at your competitors hotels, right?

MR. FERGUSON: And what we learned is this fog — the fog only kills what's alive and flying right then and there, but you have to come in behind the fog with the residual. Now, when you put these residuals on these organic mediums — media, we'll call it, it kills them, too.

Being a horse owner, I've spent a lot of money on Malathion. You put the residual on the screens and the walls and everything and don't try to kill the organic, but the residual is important. It has to be -- you have to follow-up the fog with the residual because the flies are going to land somewhere, and they're always licking their feet and things like that. So the residual will work if you just spray the sides of the stalls and the screens and everything, and that includes your house, to put the residuals on your screens and things. It smells bad for the first day or so, it does, but it works. So when the flies land, it poisons them. I found that that worked pretty well.

MS. CORBETT: What is this product?

	03
1	MR. BURNETT: Malathion.
2	MR. PULASKI: Is that in the store?
3	MR. FERGUSON: I don't know. I don't know
4	what's out there.
5	MR. BURNETT: I'm not sure.
6	MR. FERGUSON: Just find some residual,
7	whatever it is. The secret ingredient in these
8	things are called Pyrethrins, and you look at all of
9	your your better quality insecticides have
10	Pyrethrins in them because Pyrethrins aren't toxic
11	to animals and things. They're only toxic to
12	insects.
13	MR. PULASKI: I have a screened in porch, and
14	I sprayed those screens with Off. I thought it
15	would work, and within
16	MR. FERGUSON: It's a repellent.
17	MR. PULASKI: It's two days, they're back
18	there again.
19	MR. FERGUSON: Those are just repellents. You
20	need something with Pyrethrins, P-Y-R-E
21	MR. LEE: The Shockwave that we use, that's
22	what it is. We put an oil in it to make it stick
23	to we mix it with a vegetable oil to make it
24	stick to the walls for the flies to land on and kill

25

them.

1	MR. FERGUSON: Go with that, and then the
2	organic, give it a shot. But you homeowners, you
3	know, get something with some Pyrethrins in it,
4	spray it as a residual on the windowsills, all
5	around your screens and doors. I have the same
6	problem up in the mountains because I'm surrounded
7	by cattle farms and things. I'm like you,
8	Mr. Isbell, I'm walking around driving my wife and
9	daughter crazy with the flyswatter.
10	MS. CORBETT: We keep a flyswatter in every
11	room.
12	MR. FERGUSON: They think I'm a nut walking
13	around doing this.
14	MS. CORBETT: Got them in every room.
15	MR. BURNETT: Tell them they're not alone.
16	MR. PULASKI: I was not aware of this, but a
17	fly actually has a lifespan of three to eight weeks,
18	and each female fly can lay 800 eggs. So that's
19	enough to really have their own army.
20	MR. BURNETT: Well, it would be nice if we
21	could figure out a way that that army never makes it
22	to your neighborhood.
23	MR. PULASKI: Concur with that.
24	MR. BURNETT: Can we provide some way we can

have some feedback on this? We've got a little

1 while to work on it, but maybe towards the end of 2 the year we can revisit this, towards the end of 3 this year, a few months, revisit this while we're 4 making plans for next year's season. 5 MR. PETRAMALO: Well, but wait a minute. Ιt 6 occurs after the harness meet, too. MR. BURNETT: I'm not sure it does because of 8 the weather. 9 MR. LEE: I don't think it does --10 MS. CORBETT: It's not as --11 MR. PETRAMALO: It's not as bad. 12 MR. LEE: -- at that time of the year. 13 MR. BURNETT: Too cold at night. 14 MS. CORBETT: August is the main area, 90-day 15 time. 16 MR. BURNETT: We can start with the August 17 techniques. If they need to be transferred to the 18 harness meet, we could do that, but --19 MS. CORBETT: Right. 20 MR. BURNETT: Let's see if we can attack this 2.1 over the next 90 days in terms of the thinking part of it, and then we can figure out what is affordable 22 23 and reasonable from a business standpoint to 2.4 implement, so we have plenty of time to ramp up to

it for next year.

1	MR. PULASKI: That would be great. I talk to
2	Dawes a lot, and he has helped me out significantly
3	with other problems in Brickshire, you know, maybe
4	him and I can communicate
5	MR. BURNETT: That would be great.
6	MR. PULASKI: and he can tell us when
7	there's a meeting or something like that, and we can
8	get representatives again to
9	MR. BURNETT: That would be great.
10	MR. PULASKI: I appreciate your time and the
11	way you handled this to put us forward so we
12	don't because I have to go home and iron and
13	scrub the floor and wash windows.
14	MR. BURNETT: Are you sure you don't want to
15	stay a little longer?
16	MR. PULASKI: I got a limit. I have to go
17	back. My wife will beat me up.
18	MS. CORBETT: We will leave the pictures of
19	the flies for you.
20	MR. BURNETT: That's all right. I read the
21	letter, got a good picture in my head, and saw some
22	pictures on the internet.
23	MR. PULASKI: Again, thank you.
24	MR. BURNETT: You know about Mr. Harrison, our
25	executive secretary.

1 MR. PULASKI: Yeah. He sent Bill O'Bryan an 2 e-mail, because everybody communicates with me, and 3 I appreciate everyone's help in helping us out with 4 this. 5 MR. BURNETT: Great. Thank you so much for 6 joining us. MR. PULASKI: Thank you for putting us ahead 8 of everybody. 9 MR. BURNETT: Gentlemen, we're going into the 10 regular meeting. The first item is to approve our 11 meeting minutes of July 15th. Has everybody had an 12 opportunity to look at those? They're under Tab 2. 13 MR. LEE: Thank you. 14 MR. BURNETT: Thank you. 15 MR. MILLER: I move to approve. 16 MR. BURNETT: The chair seconds. It's been 17 moved and seconded that the minutes be approved. 18 All in favor indicate by saying aye. 19 The motion is made by Commissioner 20 Miller and seconded by Commissioner Burnett. All 2.1 were in favor. The motion carries. 22 MR. BURNETT: I don't know if the record 23 reflects it or not, but Commissioner Brown, 2.4 Mr. Brown is not with us today. So the votes on

anything unanimous would be the four remaining

commissioners.

2.

2.1

2.4

Any fellow commissioners have any comments on the variety of subjects that we've taken up so far today or anything else for that matter?

I'll join my fellow commissioners in silence on that item.

Committee reports. Do we have any committee reports today?

MR. HARRISON: I have a comment.

MR. BURNETT: What's the comment, sir?

MR. HARRISON: We'll be convening the meeting of the rules making committee. This would be in reference to the whip rule, and I thought maybe Iain Woolnough or Katherine, you might want to speak to this issue at this point.

MR. WOOLNOUGH: Yeah. It's been -- Iain
Woolnough, the VHHA. The Rules Committee has
recommended there be changes in the whip rules and
adopted by a number of states right now. And
Dr. Dunavant, president of the VHHA, would like this
Commission and the Rules Committee to review this
and adopt this policy. It has to go through the
Rules Committee, but it's endorsed by the VHHA.

MR. HARRISON: Do you want to describe it for a second?

MR. WOOLNOUGH: Well, basically what it does is -- most of what's in there right now is in Virginia's rules, but the main change is that you must keep a rein in each hand from start to finish of a race. There will be no more one handed coming back and you whip back here. It must be from here. It must be strictly wrist action.

2.4

MS. SMITH: There are also some parameters — excuse me. Katherine Smith. There are also some parameters about the area — even with holding the whip, most are right-handed, where you can continue to whip, but it's a wrist flick rather than the wailing away kind of action that we've seen in the past. There are also restrictions on how — what area.

MR. BURNETT: What part of the horse you can hit?

MS. SMITH: Right. Between the forks of the cart itself or the bike itself, and areas that you can whip in legally. There is also a restriction on the length of the whip, making it -- like a thoroughbred whip, there's a snapper on the end. There's restrictions on the size and the lengths of the whip snappers to make it a less painful device to begin with. We would like to adopt those same

rules that pretty much are already in use in 1 2. Indiana, Delaware --3 MR. WOOLNOUGH: Delaware has them. 4 MS. SMITH: -- and Pennsylvania. 5 MR. BURNETT: Does the USTA put out a model 6 rule or something to that effect that's simply adopted in their form, or is it for us to rewrite or to write the original verbiage? 9 MR. WOOLNOUGH: USTA has not come out and 10 endorsed it, the one that came from the model rules 11 committee of RCI. 12 MR. BURNETT: All right. So we would use --13 we would be looking at whether or not to adopt RCI's 14 model rule? 15 MR. WOOLNOUGH: Whether to adopt or modify it. 16 We would prefer it be adopted as is. 17 MS. SMITH: We like it as is. 18 MR. HARRISON: But even in lieu of the rule, 19 this message can be brought to the drivers, the 20 harness drivers. 2.1 MS. SMITH: Absolutely. That did take place, 22 I think, in the state of Indiana last year. 23 were -- the Rules Committee had not met in a timely 2.4 enough manner to get the rule on the books before

the meet began, but essentially the chief steward

held a meeting with all the drivers and said this is the way it's going to be. These -- you know, this is the way it's going to be -- you know, these are what we're going to be measuring, what we're going to be looking at. There will be sanctions in forms of warnings until such time as the rule does become official, because I don't think you can actually fine without the rule being in effect.

MR. WOOLNOUGH: Correct.

MR. BURNETT: Do we have time to get the rule on the book for this harness meet?

MR. LERMOND: No.

2.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: No. It's not a rule of racing that is -- is it a matter of our Commission meeting, or is it a matter of --

MR. LERMOND: Well, we still have to get the Rules Committee together, and then I still don't think we'll have enough time. I think one solution could be, I talked to some of our judges about it already, that we just need to enforce the rule we have on the books more strictly. I don't know personally if holding both reins and keeping — whipping and holding the rein in one hand is going to send the horse mixed signals, like do you want me to turn this way or do you want me to speed up.

I've heard that they're going to have a rule of their own later this year, closer to the end of the year. I thought it might be wise to see what they have to say since a lot of our rules of harness are based on the USTA rules and the rule book. We actually defer to that when we don't have a rule that covers the specific instance.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: It sounds like you're going to have a lively discussion at the rules meeting.

MR. PETRAMALO: Well, this just deals with the use of the whip, though, correct? Not the construction of the whip?

MR. LERMOND: That's correct.

MR. PETRAMALO: At some point we ought to address the -- not only the use, but more importantly, the construction of the thoroughbred horses because if you've seen the *Trade Press* within the last month, more and more states are going to the use of this thing, requiring this padded-type whip being used. And while we experimented with it, it's not required by our rules, although there is a RCI model rule that requires this.

MR. BURNETT: Well, that's a good segway into another question I had, and that is there's been

apparently as a result of a bunch of horses having the ground break out from underneath them leaving the gate at Delaware. How scientific a sampling that was, I'm not sure, but it allowed an additional sixteenth of an inch. If I'm not mistaken, it went from a quarter of an inch to three-sixteenths or something like that.

2.

2.1

2.4

MR. PETRAMALO: Two millimeters to four millimeters.

MR. BURNETT: Okay. There it is. That's what it was. So it might make sense to put that issue on the agenda for the rules meeting. I'm not suggesting there be a conclusion drawn, but at least to revisit what the state of the art is at this point, what the thinking is, get a little ahead of the game in the event we want to make a change if we want to make a change.

Anything else you would like to tell us about those exciting developments with the whip?

MR. WOOLNOUGH: No. We would just ask that our Rules Committee just have that discussion.

MR. BURNETT: Good.

MR. WOOLNOUGH: Put Virginia -- again, we've not been a follower, we've always been a leader.

We'd like to see that continue.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: It gives us something to talk about. I think the ones that hate the whip rules the most are the jocks, and then their trainers, and then the owners. The fans kind of like them from what I can tell. Maybe the hard core horse players don't, but I think they tend to be popular. Those types of things seem to be more humane treatment of the horse, and therefore, supported by the general population, which makes for good public relations in my view. So hopefully you'll get the word out if a rule like this passes.

MS. SMITH: They took a long look at the test application last year, and there's a lot of information that you can take a look at on-line. The Indiana Department of Agriculture has that information. They basically looked at the changes in race times, if there were changes, in the amount of driver objections, and the amount of infractions that were noted by the judges. There was essentially no change at all. You would have thought that those changes would have been reflected in the race times themselves, but there wasn't a change at all. They looked at, I think, about 60 days of racing.

MR. BURNETT: Great.

2.

2.1

2.4

MS. SMITH: It's some good reading.

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

Anything further involved on that comment, Mr. Harrison?

MR. HARRISON: Nothing further.

MR. BURNETT: All right. No other committee reports. We move to the Executive Secretary's report, and the first item is the approval of racing officials.

MR. HARRISON: Yes. I would recommend that you approve the racing officials as proposed by the track. That would be Craig Andow, Racing Secretary; Carl Kloentrap, Assistant Racing Secretary; Diane Stevenson, Program Director; Jack Remy, Paddock Judge; Crystal Remy, Stall Manager/Assistant Identifier; Danielle Barr, Executive Secretary in the secretarial sense of that word; Phil Martin, Paddock for Head Numbers and Saddle Pads; Wes Franklin, Paddock Blacksmith; Charles Williams, Paddock maintenance; and Phil Martin for Paddock — for Head Saddles and Paddles.

MR. BURNETT: Are these folks all known to you and veterans of these meets? No new additions we're aware of?

	30
1	MR. HARRISON: Not that I'm aware of.
2	MR. BURNETT: Do we have a motion?
3	MR. MILLER: I so move.
4	MR. FERGUSON: Second.
5	MR. BURNETT: It's been moved and seconded to
6	approve the Racing Officials for the 2009
7	Standardbred Meet. All in favor indicate by saying
8	aye.
9	NOTE: The motion is made by Commissioner
10	Miller and seconded by Commissioner Ferguson. All
11	were in favor. The motion carries.
12	MR. BURNETT: Approval of judges for the
13	harness meet.
14	MR. HARRISON: I would ask that you approve
15	the judges as submitted. That would be Jean Chalk,
16	as Senior Commission Judge; George Hickman,
17	Commonwealth Judge; and Brent McLaren as
18	Commonwealth Judge.
19	MR. FERGUSON: So move.
20	MR. MILLER: I'll second.
21	MR. BURNETT: It's been moved and seconded.
22	All in favor indicate by saying aye.
23	NOTE: The motion is made by Commissioner
24	Ferguson and seconded by Commissioner Miller. All
25	were in favor. The motion carries.

1 MR. BURNETT: Mr. Harrison, if we could, while 2 we're on that subject for a moment, the subject of 3 judges, if I might ask Mr. Lermond if the completion 4 of your duties as a steward in the thoroughbred meet 5 now causes you to have all of your necessary hours 6 as a steward certification. Am I right about that? MR. LERMOND: I do actually. Yes. Thank you. 8 MR. BURNETT: Congratulations, and we'll look 9 forward to seeing more of you as a fully qualified 10 steward. 11 MR. LERMOND: Thank you. 12 Item C, deadline for submitting MR. BURNETT: 13 request for 2010 live racing dates. 14 MR. HARRISON: Yeah. I just want to remind 15 everyone to -- I'm sure it's not necessary, but I 16 don't want to wait until March or April to fully 17 address this subject. If we can have it done, we 18 can begin talks and have this resolved in the 19 December time period, January at the latest, I would 20 certainly appreciate it, and so I'm sure would 2.1 everybody else involved. 22 MR. PETRAMALO: Do we have a deadline now? 23 MR. WEINBERG: The Commission gets to set it. 2.4 MR. BURNETT: What's the druthers of the

25

parties?

	98
1	MR. PETRAMALO: March 15th.
2	MR. BURNETT: Not when we're going to get
3	there after all the argument. When are we going to
4	start arguing?
5	MR. WEINBERG: We've been using December 1.
6	MR. BURNETT: December 1.
7	MR. PETRAMALO: December 1.
8	MR. BURNETT: Is there any reason to depart
9	from that in any direction?
10	MR. STEWART: I don't think so.
11	MR. PETRAMALO: No.
12	MR. BURNETT: Let's stay with December 1, and
13	let's see if we can depart from the duration of our
14	discussion.
15	MR. PETRAMALO: We've already started.
16	MR. BURNETT: Hope springs eternal.
17	MR. PETRAMALO: We've already started.
18	MR. BURNETT: Wonderful.
19	MR. STEWART: I'm sure Mr. Petramalo will be
20	much more reasonable this year.
21	MR. BURNETT: Hope all the parties will.
22	MR. PETRAMALO: Hope springs eternal, and she
23	just left.
24	MR. BURNETT: The next item is I'm sorry.
25	Anything further?

MR. HARRISON: No, sir.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: Thank you.

Update on the 2009 Thoroughbred Meet from Colonial Downs.

MR. STEWART: Thank you.

We completed our 2009 Thoroughbred Meet on July 28th, and I think all things considered, it was pretty successful. This year we ran 40 days versus 45 days last year. However, we ran 379 races, which at the rate of nine races per day, which is the rate we ran in 2008, 2009 was really the equivalent of a little over 42 days. We averaged 8.9 horses per race, which was a pretty good increase over last year when we had 7.9. Our daily average purse was 181,000, which was down about 15% from the prior year.

Our average attendance increased. We were at 1,668 in '08 to 1,712 in '09. Our on-track per capita wager was \$74.84, which is arguably basically the same as last year, \$75.60. I think we round both to 75 bucks.

As you know, the TrackNet boycott was a major contributor to a reduction in our out-of-state signal sales, which went from about \$960,000 in handle per day last year to 628 this year, but that

decline cannot all be attributed to TrackNet as wagering in general has declined significantly from last year nationwide. In my opinion, that fact makes maintaining our live meet per capita this year all the more impressive.

2.

2.1

2.4

The Virginia Derby turned out pretty well.

While our attendance was down from 9,000 to 8,000, in my opinion this was primarily because a lot of our folks that come to the track never made it off the highway. There were tremendous traffic problems that day, but that day our wagering on track was actually up about 2% with fewer people. I think we did a better job this year of distributing our wagering terminals and getting -- we did a couple things. We used the walk around teller a little more than we've used in prior years. We got some more of our self-service stuff out to where the people were.

The race itself was an excellent race. It was won by the Battle of Hastings. Unfortunately,

Battle of Hastings didn't go on to the Secretariat,

which was won by a horse that he beat twice in

Virginia.

MR. BURNETT: Did you say unfortunately or fortunately?

MR. STEWART: Unfortunately.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: All right.

MR. STEWART: As you probably know, our alumni continue to do well. For example, Gio Ponti, the 2008 Virginia Derby winner has been winning big races all summer, and perhaps our alumni success will lead to an upgrade to the grade one status for the Virginia Derby next year.

I guess the only thing I would say is by the end of the meet, the turf track was pretty much used up. I think we ran as many races out there as we possibly could.

MR. BURNETT: Do you attribute that used up piece to weather conditions? A difference in the way you maintained it? Number of races? What are the factors that --

MR. STEWART: Well, I can throw out my opinion. I'm not the expert on the turf track out there, but the weather was pretty wet at the beginning of the meet. I think that kind of contributed towards it. Maybe J. D. has got a few words. He ran out the door on me.

MR. PETRAMALO: I agree. I think we were off the turf for five and a half days, and there were some days that we probably shouldn't -- some

additional days that we probably should have come off. What happens is when you got the wet track and you run it, it gets really chewed up, but that said, as long as J. D. is not here I'll say this, he and his crew did a wonderful job in maintaining both the dirt course, and in particular, the turf course. I mean, they were out there all the time trying to fix it up. You know, you can see the difference.

2.4

Our desire to have horses run on the grass resulted in nine and a half starters per race running on the grass and that translates into more handle, more competitive racing, et cetera. So it's always, you know, a desire on one hand to keep running on the grass, and on the other hand, you've got to be wary of what you're doing to the surface because of the wet weather. Generally speaking, both surfaces were in very good shape for most of the meet.

MR. BURNETT: The fence was moved in the normal course?

MR. PETRAMALO: Yeah, every a five days.

MR. REYNOLDS: Mr. Chairman? What about the traffic, is that simply the beach traffic on a Saturday afternoon or --

MR. STEWART: Well, the beach traffic was a

contributor, but I think what really was painful that day is there was major problems on I-95. We had one of our senior executives that was flown to Washington that was coming to the race, and it took him six hours to drive down. There were also accidents on 64 as well. So I don't know that it was a perfect storm, but there was several factors that just made it -- driving on 64 was basically a gridlock that day, which is frustrating. What can you do? At least it didn't rain.

2.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: All right. Anything further on the 2009 Thoroughbred Meet?

Why don't we move into Colonial Downs' plans for the harness meet in 2009.

MR. STEWART: I brought a couple people to join me for this conversation. Darrell, you guys want to come up and talk to them?

We're getting ready for the harness meet. I spoke with Craig Andow, the Racing Secretary. He runs the operation on the racing side. He's very optimistic. He thinks we'll have 5 to 600 horses here on the grounds, which is pretty good. He thinks there will be more than last year. I think we'll do pretty well.

I would like Darrell Wood to spend a minute or

two talking about our media promotion plans. I also have Robin Dale here that runs our group sales, give us some — a little update on what we're doing there, and Kris Iwan handles all of our social networking marketing, perhaps she can update you a little bit on Facebook and stuff like that.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: You mean explain to all of us how that works?

MR. STEWART: I cannot. Little too old for that. All right, Darrell.

MR. WOOD: Well, from a promotion/marketing standpoint, I think we're going to try to keep the harness meet kind of like we do with the thoroughbred meet. We have two major events in the summer, the Turf Cup and the Derby, and those are the two big races, but there's also, you know, some nice festivals and parties that go hand in hand with that.

For the harness meet, we got the obvious

Virginia-Bred Day, the Day of Champions, which this

year is going to be on November 7th. The neat thing

about this year is it falls on the Breeders Cup

simulcast. Last year it didn't. So that gives us a

leg up right there.

We're also going to do -- we talked about this

over the years, but we're going to do an All Breeds

Day, and we're going to have a parade of carriages

that day, which used to take place at Strawberry

Hill up until several years ago. We're going to

have different breed demonstration between the races

that day and --

2.4

MR. FERGUSON: When was that, Darrell?

MR. WOOD: November 7th. And if it works out, we'd like to -- one of the biggest requests we've had over the years is people that want to try and run their horse on the track here. The thought is that after the last race, for a donation to maybe an organization like Dream Catchers Therapeutic Riding Center, we let people, you know, take a circuit on the track with a horse and really encompass all the breeds together that day.

MR. BURNETT: This is for the old, fat, gray-haired guy who wants to jump on his quarter horse and ride as fast as it'll go on the racetrack, is that what we're talking about?

MR. WOOD: It is, and it's in the discussion phase right now, that aspect of it. It'll be a neat day and really would -- it's the last day of the season, and we think we'll have a good day.

The other event, we don't have the Patriot

Trot this year. We've run an Octoberfest celebration the past few years with so-so results. So we're going to take a lot of the elements that we do on Turf Cup Day with a festival called the Rhythm, Bets 'n Brews, incorporate live music, some cocktail libation aspect to it. We're going to call it the Wild Turkey Festival and have a turkey stew competition, have turkey legs to try to attract the Virginia Tech alumni, and have a turkey trot race on the track itself that afternoon. So those are the two big events that we're going to put a lot of our marketing and media resources in.

We're going to do a couple events that baseball teams have done pretty well over the years. We're going to use the Jumbotron and have movie night after the races one night, show the movie Dreamer, which was out a few years ago with Dakota Fanning. Invite people to bring their blankets and lawn chairs out onto hopefully the dormant turf course and the track and watch the movie there.

We're also going to try -- baseball has had a lot of success with knitting events here in the past couple years. They've got a Stitch 'N Pitch that major league and minor league baseball teams do.

We're going to have ours called Knit Place & Show,

and we're going to invite all knitters from all over
the area to come out and, you know, hopefully have a
decent event.

MR. FERGUSON: When is that, Darrell? What
day? I have a friend that knits.

MR. WOOD: September 27th.

MR. BURNETT: I got a friend that knits too, so I want to be able to describe this to her as to what she would or wouldn't be doing if she went to the racetrack on Knit Place & Show.

2.4

MR. WOOD: Baseball really has embraced this the last couple years. It started with the minor leagues, now major league. Every major league team has got a stitch and pitch day. They've had a lot of success with it. We're going to mix that in with some family weekends and some poker tournaments and, you know, hopefully have a good show.

MR. BURNETT: What do the knitters do? I mean, they're just in the company of each other basically?

MR. WOOD: It's almost like a subculture.

They meet monthly at libraries, Panera Breads, and I think for a chance for all the -- what baseball found, for everybody to get together, compare patterns and, you know, whatever.

1	MR. BURNETT: Darrell doesn't knit apparently.
2	MR. PETTY: I'm not getting it.
3	MR. WOOD: We wanted to create horse themes,
4	lap throws that we could make and the drivers bring
5	down in a PR campaign to a senior center.
6	MR. FERGUSON: Can cross stitchers show up?
7	MR. WOOD: I think so.
8	MR. BURNETT: Crocheting?
9	MR. PETRAMALO: I think also on one Sunday
10	we're going to have two steeplechase races with the
11	harness cart again like we did last year.
12	MR. FERGUSON: What dates are those?
13	MR. PETRAMALO: I believe it's September 13th,
14	whatever that Sunday, that weekend.
15	MR. WOOD: Opening weekend.
16	MR. PETRAMALO: Last year I think we had a
17	MR. FERGUSON: That's Grandparents Day.
18	MR. PETRAMALO: daily double.
19	MR. FERGUSON: What do we have on the 13th?
20	MR. PETRAMALO: Steeplechaser and a harness
21	steeplechase. It's really pretty interesting.
22	MR. FERGUSON: How do you have a steeplechase
23	pulling a cart?
24	MR. PETRAMALO: No, you're not going to pull a
25	cart

1	MR. BURNETT: It's hell when they go over the
2	fence.
3	MR. FERGUSON: There's no ramps or anything.
4	MR. REYNOLDS: What are the overall dates?
5	MR. WOOD: September 8th through November 7th,
6	eight weeks, 36 days.
7	MR. FERGUSON: So you have two what do you
8	call the September what do you call it, a
9	championship race? What did you call it?
10	MR. WOOD: The steeplechase?
11	MR. PETRAMALO: No. The Day of Champions is
12	the seventh.
13	MR. WOOD: November 7th.
14	MR. FERGUSON: What were these other the
15	two big what did you call them?
16	MS. IWAN: The Wild Turkey Festival.
17	MR. WOOD: The Wild Turkey Festival is October
18	3rd.
19	MR. WEINBERG: Two steeplechase?
20	MR. FERGUSON: Is it two steeplechase races?
21	MR. WEINBERG: Yeah, on one Sunday,
22	September 13th.
23	MR. FERGUSON: Okay. Gotcha.
24	MR. STEWART: Robin, maybe you can talk about
25	group sales for a second.

A couple of things we've been working on is, of course, to attract the people that already have been coming and, of course, the new people. With the economy basically like it was this year, we did not see some people return during thoroughbred, but we did some new people.

I'm Robin Dale.

MS. DALE: Sure.

years to kind of embrace both, and this is basically what we sent out as an example, which I thought captured the harness really well, and it also gives an offer on the back, which is — we found that the barbecue is — I don't know why that goes with horse racing, but everybody loves it so we put a special.

But another thing that we've done, and we've incorporated into our Facebook, which, you know, Kristin will cover in a little bit, is a half off offer that starts — it starts now and goes through August 31st.

So other than that first barbecue special you see on there, the other packages are half off. So we think that that will drive a lot of traffic, and the response in the last few days that we put it up have just, you know, been outstanding. So we hope that that drives, you know, some pre-registration to

1 the racing season.

2.4

Another thing is, we do have a couple of good groups coming in. One of them that we have had in the past that, you know, we try to incorporate and bring over into the racing season is the Richmond volleyball tournament, and this year we figured that'll probably bring about a thousand people out, which should be a nice attendance. So if we can get even a portion of those people to come in to, you know, participate in the actual racing, that's, you know, a good turn out. A couple of the other groups that we have right now are about 200, you know, and has the probability of maybe increasing.

The suites themselves are starting to pick up, and the nice thing about this year is we dabbed on some renovation to the suites, which, you know, improves our package a little bit with the addition of a huge tent that's out on the green area right now. So we think, you know, being fall, being the favorite time to be outside, you know, that again with that barbecue—type festive idea that we'll have more groups that look into that situation. We do have one that has booked out there already, and we are offering incentives for September at a reduced price leader to see if we can attract that business,

which we've not enjoyed in the past.

2.4

The new communications, too, that we have in our favor this year is also the enhanced appearance on Facebook. Prior to Kristin coming on board, we really didn't have any type of Facebook or fan page, so to speak, which is something that we're working on, and I'll let her tell you about, that I think offers us a great opportunity to be able to put things out there right away. And with this half off and book before August 31st, the response we've gotten just off of that is proof that, you know, there's a large crowd that we've been missing, that, you know, this affords that opportunity for us.

So, Kristin, if you want to tell them a little bit about Facebook.

MS. IWAN: Good morning. I have a few handouts I'll pass out. Like Robin said, I've been challenged with building up our internet presence. As you said, you don't need to be too old or too young. Facebook is growing pretty large with an older demographic. In fact, from ages of 35 to 54 that demographic has increased 276% since January, and 55 and older has increased 194% since January. So you really don't have to be afraid if you feel like you're too old or too young. Get on in there.

It's easy and fun.

2.4

What it allows me to do is to touch base with about 3,000 people at a time. I can update them quickly and efficiently on exciting offers that we have from group sales, great deals that they can do with just reserving tables, promotions, and as you can see on the first page there, that's what we call a wall. I do harness trivia. So this is helping me to bring harness racing awareness to young people, old people, everybody, and let them really get involved with Colonial Downs so that they'll want to come out, but they'll know what they're watching. They'll know what they're seeing. They get a little history of harness racing once or twice a week, whenever I do these questions. So it's really fun.

We can advertise a couple different ways. If you see on the handout, on the third page, this is what's called our fan page, and to the right of that page or right here on this little side, you see Colonial Downs Racetrack. That's just a little ad. This little ad can pop up on tons and tons of different people's pages. We can filter it to focus on local Virginians, maybe we can focus on a certain demographic, but we can get this out to anybody really quickly, and right now our fan page is

growing about a hundred fans a day. So we're really getting out there. And if you can see -- well, let me get ahead of myself.

The first two pages are called a personal page for Colonial Downs. This is off our Facebook. The personal page allows me to just update right away, anytime. I have — yesterday I had 2,400 friends, so today maybe a few more. We might be up to 2,500. I haven't checked yet. I can reach those people right away. I can send them birthday wishes, things like that, right away. It's really fun.

MR. BURNETT: Can't they also post on the page, and you need to be able to edit --

MS. IWAN: Yes.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: -- or such that stuff that you don't want on there doesn't --

MS. IWAN: Yes. Very simply. If anybody posts any negative complaints, I can go right in and delete them, and then send them a nice message saying, "Thank you for your complaints. I've addressed them, and we'll take care of it," something like that.

MR. BURNETT: So you have a filtering mechanism?

MS. IWAN: I do.

1 MR. BURNETT: You encourage people to get on there and make entries on the wall --2 3 MS. IWAN: Correct. 4 MR. BURNETT: -- but at the same time, they've 5 got to come through you before they get there? 6 MS. IWAN: Correct. That's why I have to spend pretty much all day and night checking it every few minutes, which is fine. They can also I-M 9 me, instant message me. If anybody has any 10 questions, I can answer them right away. They can 11 get to me really quickly. 12 It's easy for any of you guys to join in. 13 What we're hoping to do is build up the Virginia 14 horse racing family, either by Facebook, Blogspot, 15 MySpace, Twitter, Lincoln, these are all ways that 16 we can all connect and reach our own fan base 17

together. So anytime anybody ever sees articles about interesting harness racing tips, stories, feel free to e-mail them to group sales, then I can post them conveniently to these websites, and get people involved and excited and come out to the track.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. BURNETT: Would you send each of the commissioners an e-mail with addresses where we might send interesting things that we come across?

> MS. IWAN: Absolutely. I'm reached at group

sales at Colonial Downs dot com, but I can --

MR. BURNETT: Just so we have it and can hit the reply button or  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ 

MS. IWAN: Sure.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: -- stick it in our Outlook someplace.

MS. DALE: Any list that you come up with since we're -- you know, we're dependent totally on a social, you know, presence, whether it be on-line or just some wonderful Excel that you have, and we can send something out like this. Since we're in it together, we invite anybody, you know, that can offer us help.

MS. IWAN: As you can see, the first two pages, yesterday I posted five harness trivia questions, and within seconds I had responses right away. So anytime if you think of anything that you'd like me to post up that you think would be interesting, I have a hard time getting to all the articles myself so I could always use the help, and love to keep everybody involved.

MR. BURNETT: I take it you're reaching out to the harness association folks, and the VTA folks, because that connection seems to me would be real helpful?

1	MS. IWAN: Absolutely. Absolutely. My hope
2	is that we can all work together and, like I said,
3	Virginia horse racing family, keep it together and
4	we can attract more business.
5	MR. PETRAMALO: How does one visit this
6	Facebook?
7	MS. IWAN: Well, first you'll just have to go
8	to Facebook dot com
9	MR. PETTY: Buy a computer first.
10	MS. IWAN: sign in. The first page every
11	time you go to Facebook dot com, just sign in.
12	MR. PETTY: Buy a computer.
13	MS. IWAN: Right. Buy a computer, plug it
14	in
15	MR. PETTY: Just kidding.
16	MS. IWAN: turn it on, access internet.
17	Facebook dot com. It's easy. Right when you go to
18	that, it asks you would you like to sign up, put
19	your name you have to click yes, put in your
20	name. You have to put your age in there.
21	MR. PETRAMALO: Right.
22	MS. IWAN: But you can tell it not to tell
23	anybody. I know some of the ladies asked me that
24	earlier. And then you're signed in. That's all you

25

have to do.

1	MR. PETRAMALO: And then how do I get to
2	Colonial Downs?
3	MS. IWAN: In the search bar, just as any web
4	page, just like Google, type Colonial Downs. We
5	have two pages, Colonial Downs and Colonial Downs
6	Racetrack. They're a little bit different. The
7	personal page, the first two pages I handed you was
8	Colonial Downs and this one is called Colonial Downs
9	Racetrack. It looks a little different. Facebook
10	world users would understand that this is fan page
11	versus a personal page, but same information, same
12	easy way to connect.
13	MR. BURNETT: Then you can have all kinds of
14	friends, Frank.
15	MR. PETRAMALO: I don't want any.
16	MS. IWAN: You can ignore the friends, too.
17	MR. BURNETT: We can post things on your wall,
18	Frank.
19	MS. IWAN: Right. You can tag him in crazy
20	pictures that you had over the weekend.
21	MR. PETRAMALO: Interesting. I'll try it.
22	MR. BURNETT: All right. Anything else?
23	Thank you very much.
24	MS. IWAN: My pleasure.
25	MR. BURNETT: I'm tickled to see that you are

1	all using this social networking because
2	MS. IWAN: We're all getting together.
3	MR. BURNETT: It's a big deal. If you haven't
4	been paying attention, newspapers are having a tough
5	time, and it's because of all this social
6	networking.
7	MS. IWAN: Absolutely.
8	MR. BURNETT: I find in my business that
9	people find me through the internet about three or
10	four hundred percent more often now than they did
11	even three or four years ago. It's a remarkable
12	difference. I think if you don't get on that train,
13	you're going to get left.
14	MS. IWAN: Happy to bring everybody on board.
15	MR. BURNETT: And if you can pull Frank along,
16	it would be terrific.
17	MR. PETRAMALO: Oh, yeah.
18	MR. REYNOLDS: Peter will be your friend.
19	MR. BURNETT: I promise to be your friend,
20	Frank. Whether he'll reciprocate or not, I'm not
21	sure.
22	All right. Do we have anything else on the
23	harness meet?
24	MR. STEWART: Not on the harness meet, no.
25	MR. BURNETT: All right.

MR. STEWART: I do have a couple other thoughts, though.

2.1

2.4

MR. BURNETT: Wonderful to hear any further thoughts you have, please.

MR. STEWART: Well, we wouldn't want the meeting to pass without talking about account wagering, so I would like to spend a few minutes talking about that.

MR. BURNETT: All right.

MR. STEWART: As I said last month, I've previously spent a lot of time trying to explain the complexity and the nuances of account wagering in Virginia. As I said before, I think that was a mistake. Therefore, I'm going to continue to concentrate on the simplicity of the issue.

The fundamental question is this. What is the purpose of account wagering? It's been stated many times that the purpose of OTBs is to support live racing, so I do not believe that it's a stretch to conclude that the purpose of account wagering, the purpose of ADW is to support living racing as well.

I think the easiest way to explain the significance of this is to go back to the very beginning. Suppose at the beginning before there was Colonial Downs, there were two companies that

O

\_\_\_

2.4

wanted to conduct pari-mutuel wagering in Virginia.

One company wanted to build a series of buildings
where customers could go to wager with live tellers,
as well as eat and drink while watching races on
television. For simplicity sake, we'll call this
the OTB company.

The other company's business plan was to allow people to stay at home and wager on races on their computer or watching on their computer or on their own television. For simplicity, we'll call this the ADW company.

So both companies submit their business plans to the Virginia Racing Commission. VRC analyzes the plans and comes to the startling conclusion that neither plan provides for live horse racing in Virginia. Since live horse racing is a prerequisite for pari-mutuel wagering in Virginia, there's now a problem. Each company understands that live racing requires a huge capital investment, and rarely is profitable. However, both companies want to execute their pari-mutuel wagering business plans because these are problems. So both the ADW company and the OTB company will have to conduct live racing, either separately or jointly together.

Now, given the large capital investment

required, why build two tracks when you really only need one? So they move the model of conducting live racing jointly. At that point the question is, how much of the live racing costs should each company bear? One equitable answer would be to prorate the cost based on handle.

MR. FERGUSON: Based on what?

MR. STEWART: Handle.

2.4

Now, obviously we cannot go back to the beginning. However, the basic principles remain true today. Today there are five ADW companies and one OTB company. It just so happens that the OTB company has to bear the cost of live racing. I think it stands to reason the ADW company should bear their proportionate share of the cost of the live racing facility and the live racing. So how much is that?

I'll get Mr. Weinberg to -- I have a little handout. Today is a big day for handouts.

Since we don't have a complete accounting for 2009, in 2008 live thoroughbred racing had a net cost before pari-mutuel taxes of slightly over \$1,750,000. That's the net cost after revenue or before pari-mutuel taxes. Live standardbred racing had a net cost before pari-mutuel taxes of 694,000.

The year-round operation and maintenance of the New Kent racing facility, this racetrack, that building over there, these barn areas, is a net cost, and the reason it's a net cost is Robin actually sells the building periodically and makes a few dollars. We operate the Kentucky — we run Kentucky Derby simulcast and a few other things. It has a net cost of \$1,960,000.

2.4

In addition, the cost of the facility is a little over \$50 million, including periodic improvements, spread over a number of years in the form of depreciation, which really all depreciation does is take your capital expenditures and spread them over a period of time. That's \$1,147,000. The total live racing cost is a little over five and a half million before pari-mutuel taxes. This represents the direct cost of these operations, the net of the revenue. These costs do not include our general office and administrative costs, such as my salary, our accounting department, Jeanna's salary, the money we pay Mr. Weinberg, anything that is not directly part of the live racing operation.

Now, live racing also requires purse money.

The figures I just quoted include the purse money
generated by live racing operations, but that's only

a small fraction of the total purse money required.

2.4

Of the total pari-mutuel tax bill, the OTB

In addition to the money included previously generated by the live meets, another 8,268,000 in purse funds is required to come from the OTBs and the ADW companies. This brings the total cost of live racing in 2008 before taxes to approximately \$13,820,000, which is sort of the first little section of the handout.

The second section shows the handle for the OTBs and the five ADW companies through July, and then prorating it, simply dividing the number by seven and multiplying by 12, extrapolating I guess is a better word, to December 31st. If you take the live racing costs of 13.8 million and divide by the handle, which is 178.9 million, annual live racing cost as a percentage of combined OTB and ADW handle is 7.2%.

This brings us to pari-mutuel taxes. The total pari-mutuel tax bill for 2008 is a little over 4.1 million dollars. This supports the VRC and provides incentives for the localities that allow pari-mutuel wagering. In my opinion, if there were no pari-mutuel taxes paid in Virginia, there would be no live racing in Virginia.

company paid 95% of it. The OTB company pays on the average 2.64% combined to the state, the locality, and New Kent. While the statutory amount to the ADW company is only half a percent, there's frankly no reason why they should be given preferential tax treatment. They're regulated by the VRC, just like the OTB company, and they should, therefore, bear their proportional cost of that regulation, including the proportional cost of the regulation of the live meet.

2.4

Unlike the OTB company, the ADW companies have not invested money in Virginia facilities. They don't employ any Virginians other than Mr. Scoggins, and I've rarely seen any Virginia media advertising. There's no justification to give these ADW companies preferential tax treatment given their lack of investment in Virginia.

Fairness would say that they should pay their proportional share of the total pari-mutuel tax bill. If they did so, everyone would pay 2.31% on handle. Currently, Colonial Downs basically subsidizes the ADW companies tax burden, and a source market fee in my opinion should reimburse Colonial Downs for the subsidy.

In addition, if it is determined that everyone

should contribute equally 1% of the handle to the Breeders Fund, you combine all of these amounts, the cost of live racing, taxes to the Breeders Fund, it comes too 11.03% of handle. The legislation that took effect July 1st requires a combined rate of 11 and a half percent be paid, which in my opinion is not too far off the mark.

2.4

There's no question that 11 and a half percent is a significant amount of handle to pay for live racing in Virginia. However, that is basically what it currently costs. There's no reason why companies with ADW business models should be treated any differently than companies with OTB business models. The truth is 11 and a half percent represents the reality of the situation in which we find ourselves. In my opinion, it is a fair price for the right to conduct pari-mutuel wagering in Virginia.

MR. BURNETT: Questions? May I ask questions?
MR. STEWART: Absolutely.

MR. BURNETT: I'm struck by the 11% being what the statute is, but to see that goes toward either there being no need for any kind of rebates for these ADWs or acknowledging that there is apparently some business reality out there that if there isn't a rebate, they ain't coming.

This is the first blush for me, but just 2 thinking about it, the practical result of this is, 3 I think, that if you tell the ADW companies you've 4 got to pay the full 11 percent or see you later, several of them are going to say, "See you later." I think that's a fear of sorts, and we had that discussion about whether or not you can and can't make rebates and all that.

1

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

But the fact that we're having that discussion suggests that the business reality is that if this is what is charged to the ADWs, they're not coming. So how do we reconcile this logical and carefully worked out percentage of 11% with the business reality that if that's what you insist on and that's what's fair and that's the way it is, you're out of business. How do you put those two together?

MR. FERGUSON: My question is, isn't this already done by statute?

> MR. STEWART: Well --

MR. BURNETT: On some levels.

MR. FERGUSON: With the new laws that took place July 1?

MR. WEINBERG: Yeah. I think this is in response to, well, is 10% real? I think the answer is, well, that's what you got to -- I mean, that's

what the cost is. That's the cost structure in this state. I understand your question, Peter, you know, it's a game of poker.

2.4

MR. STEWART: I mean, is the reality that
Colonial Downs given that it already has all the
money invested forced to subsidize the other ADW
companies? Maybe it is; maybe it isn't. I don't
know. The question is, is 11 and a half percent a
reasonable amount? My point this morning is, or
this afternoon probably by now —

MR. BURNETT: It is this afternoon. If we accept all of your assumptions, and there are several, of course --

MR. STEWART: The first response is, well, you know, the numbers must be wrong. Obviously, I'm happy --

MR. BURNETT: Or perhaps the numbers are stated in a fashion that the proponents of them would benefit from them and still retain integrity and a straight face. Obviously, you're not going to — I mean, some would say, for example, just to pick one, that amortization is a little deceptive because at the end of your amortization period, you're not prepared to part with that racetrack for zero because you still got something. We all know

1	that that are in real estate. So that's something
2	that's a nice little thing for a tax benefit. There
3	is maintenance, and there is some prudent
4	depreciation, I think, but just those kinds of
5	examples.
6	MR. FERGUSON: Where is amortization?
7	MR. BURNETT: Up on the top.
8	MR. PETRAMALO: Depreciation.
9	MR. BURNETT: I'm sorry. Same thing.
10	MR. STEWART: I mean, I guess we could do it a
11	different way. We could they represent 28% of
12	the handle. We could sell them 28% of the racetrack
13	for about \$14 million. I take the money upfront.
14	MR. REYNOLDS: Explain to me Mr. Chairman?
15	MR. BURNETT: Yes, please.
16	MR. REYNOLDS: Additional purse money is 8.2.
17	MR. STEWART: Well, in my numbers up here for
18	the net cost of the live thoroughbred meet, the
19	thoroughbred meet generates purse money, but from
20	Colonial Downs' P&L perspective, that purse money is
21	in that number. It's not a very big number, but
22	it's in that number.
23	MR. BURNETT: You're talking about on track
24	betting?
25	MR. STEWART: Right. Exactly.

1 MR. BURNETT: He just uses the additional 2 first distribution, which comes out of the blended 3 handle of 20%, which is a revenue source as an 4 expense --5 MR. STEWART: Right. 6 MR. BURNETT: -- or whatever you're going to add into it. 8 MR. STEWART: I'm trying to demonstrate -- I'm 9 trying to capture the money that comes from the 10 OTBs, that comes from the ADW sources. 11 MR. BURNETT: All of which come from the 12 blended take-out. 13 MR. STEWART: Right. 14 MR. BURNETT: Say you had two hundred million 15 dollars in handle a year, blended take-out might 16 generate 35 million dollars or whatever that number 17 is, gross, and then you start paying out all 18 these --19 MR. STEWART: Well, you take roughly 5% of the 20 gross handle that becomes your purse --MR. BURNETT: Well, no. I wasn't saying purse 2.1 22 money. I'm saying the total blended take-out. Ιf 23 it's 20% on 200 million dollars, you've got 40 2.4 million dollars to start your operation. You then

start chomping away at that and down you come.

25

	101
1	MR. STEWART: Right.
2	MR. BURNETT: And this eight million dollars
3	is part of that. So from the standpoint of an
4	expense, it comes under an expense item, it takes
5	out of that 40 million bucks.
6	MR. STEWART: Right. It's a cost of live
7	racing.
8	MR. BURNETT: Right. Or an annual cost of
9	doing business because you're required to conduct
10	live racing, so that amount has to be spent by
11	statute.
12	MR. STEWART: Correct.
13	MR. FERGUSON: So what are we being asked to
14	do today?
15	MR. STEWART: Nothing.
16	MR. BURNETT: Try and understand this thing.
17	MR. STEWART: I'm just trying to
18	MR. BURNETT: We thank him for helping us his
19	position.
20	MR. FERGUSON: Thank you.
21	MR. STEWART: Part of the education process.
22	MR. BURNETT: It is an education. It's
23	helpful to have this stuff.
24	MR. STEWART: I have an odd feeling we may
25	talk about this subject again.

1 MR. BURNETT: Imagine that.

2.4

MR. DALE: Excuse me for just a minute.

MR. BURNETT: Yeah, Duke.

MR. DALE: You mentioned that depreciation is an arguable number, but that 53 million was a real cash out item -- you know, interest, you know, there's always -- they're squishy, so --

MR. BURNETT: That's all I'm saying. You've got to take something off there. I mean, somebody put up 53 million bucks, and at the end of 30 or 41 and a half years or whatever it is, then I'm going to get all of it back in today's dollars.

Any questions, folks? Further discussion, observations? Frank, do you want to pitch in on this at all?

MR. PETRAMALO: No. I agree with Ian's analysis. The picture is a bit more complex when you look at it from an ADW standpoint, but that said, when they complain to this Commission that they can't do business here in Virginia at 11, 11 and a half percent, I think you just have to bear in mind a couple things. When we started out this whole process, TVG was paying 10 and a half percent. They did quite well.

There are a number of factors involved in

that, but also as I've pointed out to the Commission a number of times, the ADWs, if they want to do business in Virginia may have to pay higher rent than when they're doing business in West Virginia.

It costs me more to rent an office in D.C. than it does in Richmond, but what the ADWs do in those places where they operate for the most part, they pay no rent, so it's all going into their pocket.

2.4

When they come to Virginia, it costs them more than they perhaps would like to pay, but if they want to do business here, that's the cost of doing business.

MR. BURNETT: I've got to tell you I'm a little troubled by that argument for this reason. The same reason I'm troubled by the National Racing Compact's thought and RCI's thought of adopting the so-called New York Racing Compact Model, which is we'll have a compact. We can all reach uniformity, and we'll all be in this together as long as none of us are forced to change any of our rules.

So it seems to me that we continue to vulcanize ourselves in racing and that your argument that, wow, you're getting a hell of a deal over there in New Mexico so we can stick it to you a little bit here is maybe reality between the

difference in rents in New York and in the country, but the fact of the matter is that it ultimately causes these separate markets and people competing against each other rather than working as an industry as a whole to draw fans.

MR. PETRAMALO: Well, the flip side to that is without any regulation in the state of Virginia, you allow the ADWs to, quote, stick it to everybody uniformly, which means stick it --

MR. BURNETT: If we didn't have any regulations?

MR. PETRAMALO: Yeah.

2.

2.4

MR. BURNETT: No, no, no. I'm not suggesting we go without it.

MR. PETRAMALO: We're one of the few states that regulates account wagering.

MR. BURNETT: Oh, yeah. And I'm all for it.

I'm just saying we shouldn't look at our rate as

being, you can afford to pay this because you're

getting over in other states. They should pay what

is the right rate nationally, period, and, you know,

whether that rate turns out to be 11 and a half

percent or something else, I don't know, but I don't

like the argument that you're getting over over

there, so we want some of that money over here.

1 MR. REYNOLDS: Yeah, but that's reality. 2 MR. WEINBERG: With all due respect, that would be great if account wagering providers were 3 4 sitting in the room and listening and saying that's 5 something we aspire to, but I mean, they control 6 that model. MR. BURNETT: True. But I worry that this --8 you know, if you don't want to play in Virginia, 9 don't, and they may say, "Right you are." That's 10 what I worry about. 11 MR. WEINBERG: Right. By all reports -- by 12 some reports --13 MR. FERGUSON: That's a legitimate worry. 14 Like Jim said, that's poker. 15 MR. BURNETT: It is. 16 MR. WEINBERG: We're the fifth largest market 17 for an ADW company. So the question is, do you 18 really believe knowing that in the largest market 19 they've been paying this rate for sometime, but 20 they're going to walk away from say the fifth 2.1 largest market because they just don't think the 22 margins are great enough? 23 MR. BURNETT: Well, if Frank and his folks

round we go. I hear you. I hear you.

would quit raising those host fees, and round and

How it

2.4

25

shakes out -- I think I probably should have bought 1 2 Jean Dixon's crystal ball instead of just being the underbidder, then I could have looked into it and 3 4 told y'all what was going to happen. 5 MR. PETRAMALO: Very interesting. 6 MR. BURNETT: Anything else? Any members of the public wish to -- I'm sorry. Dave? MR. LERMOND: I just had one thing I wanted to 9 ask Mr. Stewart. On the pari-mutuel taxes, Ian, I 10 always thought it was a blended rate of 1.7 for 11 bricks and mortar and then half a percent for the 12 ADWs. That would take us to three if it's --13 MR. STEWART: Well, 2.64 is what we pay. 14 MR. HARRISON: Are you adding in local taxes? 15 MR. STEWART: I'm adding in local taxes. 16 Absolutely. 17 MR. BURNETT: And the horse -- Lexington Horse 18 Center and Marion duPont. I mean, they've got to 19 put every --20 MR. LERMOND: Well, that comes out of --21 MR. BURNETT: Going back to the 40 million 22 dollars of the blended 20%, all these numbers come 23 out of that 20% in one form or another regardless of 24 what's paid to it and then repaid to it. Is it

additive to your 1.75, or is it part of the 1.75

25

1	that goes to the horse center, for example?
2	MR. LERMOND: Part of it.
3	MR. BURNETT: Part of it. I mean, obviously,
4	you don't want to double bill, but
5	MR. WEINBERG: Right. But New Kent County, is
6	that in your 1.75?
7	MR. LERMOND: No. It's only the state.
8	MR. WEINBERG: Okay.
9	MR. BURNETT: I think that's what you're
10	trying to achieve, add all of those numbers together
11	and they are what they are.
12	MR. STEWART: Yeah, the total bill.
13	MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
14	All right. Members of the public wish to
15	address us? We've had a little of that already
16	today.
17	All right. Not seeing any. Next meeting
18	September 16th, everybody okay with that?
19	I don't think there's any need for closed
20	meeting today. Thank you all for coming. Motion to
21	adjourn?
22	MR. FERGUSON: So move.
23	MR. BURNETT: Second.
24	NOTE: The motion is made by Commissioner
25	Ferguson and seconded by Commissioner Burnett. All

	130
1	were in favor. The motion carries.
2	MR. BURNETT: Thank you.
3	Note: The proceedings concluded at 12:27 p.m.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	139
1	CERTIFICATE
2	VIRGINIA:
3	COUNTY OF NEW KENT:
4	
5	I, MELISSA H. CUSTIS, RPR, hereby certify
6	that I was the Court Reporter for the Virginia Racing
7	Commission meeting on August 19th, 2009, New Kent,
8	Virginia, at the time of the hearing herein.
9	
10	I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a
11	true and accurate record of the meeting and other incidents
12	of the hearing herein.
13	
14	Given under my hand this 28th day of August, 2009.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Melissa H. Custis, RPR
21	Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large
22	
23	My Commission expires:
24	March 31, 2011
25	